

NPB Exec Special Meeting Minutes – December 14, 2021

The meeting was called to Order: Tuesday Dec 14th at 1:30 PM. The meeting was a virtual meeting using an application called Zoom.

Present: John Davis, Sue Newell, Lyle Evans, Garry Robbins, John Emmons, Deb Marshall, and Anna Edgar.

Regrets: John Yim, Harvey Hall

Purpose of the Meeting: To set direction for the club on Rating of Players

PART ONE:

Moved by Gary Roberts

Seconded by John Davis,

that the Nanaimo Pickleball Club survey the membership to determine their interest in attending

1. a Ratings Clinic or,
2. a Skills Clinic or,
3. both of the Ratings and Skills Clinics.

These clinics will be conducted by a teaching professional. Brooke Siver is a Certified Ratings Specialist 4 with the International Pickleball Teaching Professionals Association. He is an IPTPA Level 2 instructor and Master Teaching Professional as well as a Director of the organization.

These clinics are tentatively scheduled to be held January 7 and 8, 2022. The cost to the member will be \$40 for each of the clinics. The cost to the club is estimated to be \$2000 to cover travel costs for the teaching professional and the gym rental costs for two days.

Discussion: The issue of various costs to provide a certified rater was discussed. There are out of pocket expenses for the trainer, hall rental and rating fees to cover.

After discussion the motion passed 5 in favor, 1 opposed and 1 abstention. 3 members did not vote. (John D. had to excuse himself before the vote)

PART TWO:

Subsequent to the passing of this motion, there was sufficient on-line conversation relating to the costs and how much the club would subsidize to cause an amended proposal to be put forward. The motion proposed that the cost per attendee should be modified from \$40 per attendee to \$60 per attendee.

Moved by Lyle Evans

Seconded by Deb Marshall,

That the original passed motion be amended as follows: That the fee per attendee be increased from \$40 to \$60 in the survey the club circulates.

Subsequent emails returned by executive members with their vote on the motion resulted in the motion being passed.

Those in favour were 7 Yes votes, while there was no vote recorded by two of the committee members.