Part 2 - EU Research

Continental Engines of Innovation

Research Systems in Germany, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Austria, and Switzerland

Date: August 2025
Subject: Global Economic Policy

———

Executive Summary

This report offers a comparative analysis of the research and development (R&D) systems in six of continental Europe's most advanced economies: **Germany, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Austria, and Switzerland**. These countries are not only central to the European Union's innovation landscape (with the exception of Switzerland, which remains closely integrated despite its non-EU status), but also demonstrate varied models of research governance, funding, and institutional architecture. Together, they account for a substantial share of Europe's total R&D output, both in terms of public investment and private-sector innovation.

A central finding of the study is that **Germany and France**, by virtue of their scale and longstanding scientific traditions, remain the continent's largest public investors in research. Germany stands out with its robust dual structure of **basic and applied research institutions**, including the globally respected Max Planck and Fraunhofer societies. France continues to rely heavily on centralized institutions such as the **CNRS** and **CEA**, with a recent policy shift toward greater collaboration with universities and private enterprises.

Belgium and the Netherlands represent smaller but remarkably efficient systems, characterized by strong university autonomy, competitive grant funding, and high international collaboration. The Netherlands, in particular, has leveraged a *"top sectors"* policy framework to align research funding with strategic economic priorities, while Belgium's federal structure supports regionally differentiated research ecosystems in Flanders and Wallonia.

Austria has demonstrated steady improvement in R&D intensity over the past two decades, driven by effective public-private partnerships and a thriving network of applied research institutes. Meanwhile, **Switzerland** remains a continental outlier in terms of R&D intensity, quality of scientific output, and global visibility. It combines elite universities (ETH Zurich, EPFL) with a thriving innovation ecosystem, benefitting from generous public funding and robust industry investment.

The report also explores the **impact of academic research on regional innovation**. While the United States boasts world-renowned innovation clusters such as Silicon Valley, Europe's geography of innovation is more dispersed. Notable examples include the Eindhoven-Leuven-Aachen triangle, the Grenoble research ecosystem, and Zurich's deep tech sector. Academic institutions often serve as anchors for these ecosystems, underscoring the economic role of universities and national research centers in driving **regional development, technological advancement, and global competitiveness**.

Finally, the study situates these national research systems within the broader context of European cooperation, notably through participation in **Horizon Europe**, and examines how differing funding mechanisms, policy strategies, and institutional frameworks affect research outcomes.

In conclusion, while these countries differ in scale and approach, all six demonstrate how **strategic public investment, institutional excellence, and effective industry collaboration** can turn research into a core engine of innovation. For policymakers, the comparative lessons outlined in this report offer valuable insights into designing resilient and forward-looking research ecosystems.

———

Chapter 1: Introduction

In an era defined by geopolitical uncertainty, technological disruption, and the green transition, research and development (R&D) has become a cornerstone of national competitiveness and resilience. Across Europe, governments face the complex task of fostering innovation-driven growth while maintaining fiscal sustainability, social cohesion, and strategic autonomy. In this context, the investment in science, research, and innovation is no longer simply a matter of academic or industrial advancement, but a crucial vector of national and regional policy.

While the European Union as a whole has committed itself to supporting science and technology through frameworks such as *Horizon Europe*, national-level strategies and investments remain the principal engines of R&D capacity. However, the scale, structure, and effectiveness of these national investments vary considerably. Countries such as Germany and Switzerland have become emblematic of sustained, long-term investment in applied research and industrial innovation. Others, like France and Belgium, combine strong public research institutions with strategic participation in EU-funded collaborations. The Netherlands and Austria, meanwhile, have carved out distinctive roles by aligning research policy with national industrial priorities and fostering international openness.

This report provides a comparative analysis of six European countries — Germany, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Austria, and Switzerland — examining how their public and private research systems are structured, funded, and integrated into broader innovation ecosystems. By analyzing not only levels of investment, but also policy approaches and institutional frameworks, the report seeks to understand the strategic choices that underpin Europe's scientific capabilities.

A particular emphasis is placed on the role of academic research — universities, research institutes, and public research organizations — as both drivers of knowledge creation and key actors in regional innovation systems. Drawing on examples such as Germany's Fraunhofer Institutes, the Dutch "Topsectors" strategy, and the decentralized excellence model of Switzerland, the report explores how different configurations of state support and institutional design can stimulate innovation, industrial transformation, and global competitiveness.

Ultimately, this report contributes to the broader debate on how Europe can build an integrated, resilient, and high-performing research and innovation system in the face of global competition — particularly from the United States and China. It aims to serve policymakers, researchers, and academic institutions seeking to understand best practices, common challenges, and future opportunities in the evolving European research landscape.

———

Chapter 2: The Strategic Role of Public R&D Funding in Advanced European Economies

Public investment in research and development (R&D) serves multiple economic and societal objectives: it fuels long-term productivity growth, supports industrial competitiveness, addresses complex societal challenges, and underpins the knowledge base essential for democratic governance and technological sovereignty. In advanced European economies, public R&D funding has increasingly come to represent not just a budgetary line-item, but a central pillar of national strategy in an age of rapid transformation.

2.1 Rationale for Public Investment in R&D

The economic rationale for public R&D investment lies in the recognition that research generates **positive externalities** — benefits that extend beyond the immediate institution or firm conducting the work. Because of this, private actors often underinvest in fundamental or early-stage research due to the difficulty of capturing the full returns. Public institutions, particularly universities and state research agencies, are therefore essential to ensuring sustained investment in scientific inquiry, knowledge diffusion, and the creation of skilled human capital.

Governments also invest in R&D to pursue **strategic autonomy and industrial policy goals**. From

semiconductors to health security to clean energy, states increasingly view control over research-intensive domains as a condition for national resilience. This is particularly true in the European Union, where debates over sovereignty have extended into the technological sphere. As a result, public R&D budgets are now often explicitly tied to industrial strategy, innovation missions, and regional development objectives.

2.2 Funding Modalities and Institutional Architecture

In most European countries, public R&D funding follows a **dual-channel system**: institutional (or block) funding to universities and research institutes, and competitive project-based funding distributed through research councils or innovation agencies. This system allows for both continuity and dynamism: stable institutional funding supports long-term research agendas and infrastructure, while competitive funding fosters excellence, novelty, and responsiveness to emerging priorities.

Germany's dual funding structure — involving federal ministries, Länder (regional states), and dedicated agencies such as the **DFG (German Research Foundation)** and **Fraunhofer-Gesellschaft** — exemplifies this approach. Similarly, in the Netherlands and Austria, programmatic funding is increasingly aligned with national priority areas through policy frameworks such as "Topsectors" or sectoral innovation strategies.

In addition, supranational mechanisms, particularly the **European Union's Horizon Europe programme**, act as a third funding layer. While not the focus of this report, it is important to acknowledge the catalytic role that European funds play in complementing national R&D strategies, fostering cross-border collaboration, and shaping research agendas through coordinated missions and challenges.

2.3 Trends in Public R&D Expenditure

According to the most recent **Eurostat** data, public R&D intensity — measured as government budget appropriations or outlays for R&D (GBAORD) as a percentage of GDP — varies widely across European economies. Countries such as Germany and Austria consistently allocate over **0.8% of GDP** to public R&D, placing them among the highest spenders in the EU. France and Belgium follow closely, though often with slightly more centralized funding structures and a stronger role for large public research organizations.

By contrast, although countries like the Netherlands and Switzerland spend generously on R&D overall, they rely more heavily on **private sector investment**, with public contributions playing a strategic rather than dominant role. Switzerland, notably, spends more than **3.5% of GDP** on R&D in total, but less than **0.8%** comes directly from the state — a reflection of the country's strong innovation-driven corporate sector and its globally competitive university system.

2.4 Policy Alignment and Strategic Prioritization

A growing trend across the countries studied is the **alignment of public R&D spending with societal missions and economic competitiveness goals**. National strategies increasingly identify priority domains — such as digital transformation, green technologies, advanced manufacturing, and life sciences — as focal areas for research support. This marks a shift from a purely curiosity-driven model to a more **mission-oriented innovation policy**, aimed at accelerating transitions in energy, health, and mobility, among others.

The use of **innovation ecosystems** — dense networks of universities, public research labs, start-ups, and large firms — is also increasingly emphasized. Policymakers are now investing not only in research projects per se, but in the surrounding institutional and spatial environments that convert research into innovation and economic value. Germany's Fraunhofer Institutes, France's CNRS-university hubs, and the Dutch university-incubator models are all examples of how public funding is used to support these ecosystems.

———

Chapter 3: National Profiles

3.1 Germany: A Federated Powerhouse of Research and Innovation

Germany stands as a cornerstone of European research and innovation. Its federal structure, industrial strength, and deep-rooted scientific culture have enabled it to develop one of the most complex and robust public R&D systems in the world. With total R&D expenditure exceeding **3.1% of GDP** in recent years — well above the EU average — Germany exemplifies a model in which sustained public investment intersects with a powerful industrial base.

Institutional Framework and Funding Structure

Germany's research system is deeply decentralized, with both the **Bund (federal government)** and the **Länder (states)** sharing responsibility. Public R&D funding is channeled primarily through three institutional pillars:

1. **Higher Education Institutions (HEIs)**: Germany's universities receive core funding from the Länder, supplemented by competitive grants from federal agencies. These institutions are key to both basic research and the training of future scientists and engineers.

2. **Non-university Research Institutions**: Four major networks dominate this space:
   - The **Max Planck Society**, focused on basic research in natural sciences, social sciences,

and the humanities.

- The **Fraunhofer Society**, known for its applied research and strong industry linkages.
- The **Helmholtz Association**, which operates large-scale research infrastructures in areas such as energy, health, and space.
- The **Leibniz Association**, a more heterogeneous group spanning disciplinary and institutional boundaries.

3. **Funding Agencies**: Chief among these is the **Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)**, the national research foundation, which funds competitive research grants across all scientific fields. Complementing the DFG is the **Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF)**, which designs large-scale national research programs, often aligned with industrial strategy.

According to **Eurostat (2024)** and national sources, German public spending on R&D reached approximately **€34 billion** in 2022, with over half going to the higher education and institutional research sectors. The BMBF's budget alone stood at **€20.3 billion**, of which approximately **€7.6 billion** was earmarked specifically for R&D.

Strategic Priorities and Mission-Driven Research

Germany has increasingly aligned its research funding with strategic national and European missions. Its **High-Tech Strategy 2025**, launched in 2018, emphasizes cross-cutting challenges such as digitalization, climate neutrality, health technologies, and resilient value chains. Under this framework, the federal government promotes interdisciplinary consortia, public-private partnerships, and innovation clusters — with significant attention to regions undergoing structural economic change.

In parallel, Germany has been proactive in integrating its R&D strategy into European frameworks. It is one of the largest contributors and beneficiaries of **Horizon Europe**, and hosts numerous EU-level research infrastructures and Joint Undertakings.

Regional Ecosystems and Industrial Integration

Germany's research landscape is notable for its regional density and integration with industrial ecosystems. The **Munich Innovation Region**, anchored by LMU Munich, TU Munich, and the Fraunhofer Institutes, stands as a global benchmark for academic-industry collaboration. Similarly, the **Rhine-Ruhr area**, **Berlin-Brandenburg**, and **Saxony** have emerged as research hubs with specialization in fields such as microelectronics, life sciences, and renewable energy.

A defining feature of the German model is the tight coupling between research and industry. German Mittelstand firms — particularly in automotive, engineering, and manufacturing — maintain strong relationships with applied research institutes, ensuring that public R&D investment translates into industrial competitiveness. This relationship is further enhanced

through tax incentives, industry consortia, and co-funded innovation programmes.

Challenges and Forward Outlook

Despite its success, Germany faces several challenges in sustaining and modernizing its research model. Concerns have emerged about bureaucratic rigidity, underinvestment in digital infrastructure, and insufficient support for early-career researchers. The country's heavy reliance on traditional industrial sectors has also led to questions about agility in emerging fields such as AI, synthetic biology, and quantum computing.

Nevertheless, the federal government continues to expand R&D investment, with a focus on **"Zukunftspakte" (Future Pacts)** between the Bund and Länder, aiming to secure long-term research excellence and capacity building. Germany's commitment to allocate 3.5% of GDP to R&D by 2025 remains a central goal — one that places it at the forefront of European science policy.

3.2 France: Centralization, Excellence, and Strategic Investment

France possesses one of the most centralized and state-driven research systems in Europe. Rooted in a tradition of **grande école** excellence and strategic public planning, French R&D policy has long been shaped by strong governmental oversight, a large public research sector, and national missions that blend scientific goals with industrial policy. With total R&D expenditure approaching **2.2% of GDP** in recent years and government outlays around **€17 billion annually**, France remains a leading European research actor — though often criticized for limited coordination between academia, public research organizations, and industry.

Institutional Architecture and Public Research Dominance

The French research ecosystem is structurally distinct from more decentralized models such as Germany's or the UK's. A defining feature is the predominance of **Public Research Organizations (PROs)**, which often operate independently from the university sector. These include:

- **Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)**: The world's largest public research organization in terms of staff and breadth, covering physics, chemistry, biology, social sciences, and more.
- **Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies Alternatives (CEA)**: Focused on energy, defense, digital technologies, and health.
- **Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM)**: Specializing in health and medical research.
- **INRAE**, **IFREMER**, and other mission-specific institutes.

The university sector plays a growing but historically secondary role. While reforms since the late 2000s have aimed to strengthen university autonomy and visibility — particularly through the **"Initiatives d'Excellence" (IdEx)** and **"Pôles de recherche et d'enseignement supérieur" (PRES)** — institutional fragmentation and uneven research capacity persist across regions.

Public R&D funding is primarily coordinated by the **Ministry of Higher Education and Research (MESR)**, which allocates core budgets to both universities and research organizations. Competitive project funding is distributed through the **Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR)**, though this accounts for a smaller share compared to Germany's DFG or the UK's UKRI.

Strategic Planning and National Missions

France maintains a tradition of long-term strategic planning in science and technology. This is embodied in **five-year national research strategies**, sectoral roadmaps (e.g., for AI, hydrogen, and space), and **France 2030** — a €54 billion investment plan launched in 2021. France 2030 earmarks approximately **€8.4 billion for R&D**, targeting breakthrough innovation, green technologies, industrial reshoring, and digital sovereignty.

The French government has also introduced **research tax credits (Crédit d'Impôt Recherche, CIR)**, which are among the most generous in the OECD. CIR allows companies to deduct up to 30% of eligible R&D expenditures from their taxes, resulting in public R&D subsidies to the private sector exceeding €6 billion per year. However, studies have raised concerns about the additionality and impact of these subsidies on innovation performance.

Regional Development and Clusters

Unlike Germany's distributed regional model, France's research activity remains relatively concentrated in major metropolitan areas — especially **Île-de-France (Paris region)**, which alone accounts for over 40% of public research expenditures. Other important hubs include **Lyon**, **Grenoble**, **Toulouse**, and **Aix-Marseille**, often supported through regional clusters (**"pôles de compétitivité"**) and national investment programs.

France has made targeted efforts to stimulate regional innovation ecosystems. For instance, **Grenoble** has emerged as a center for microelectronics and nanotechnology, integrating the CEA, Université Grenoble Alpes, and industry partners such as STMicroelectronics. Similarly, **Toulouse** has developed into a European aerospace capital, anchored by Airbus and the CNES (French space agency).

However, the persistent geographic and institutional centralization has limited the diffusion of innovation across the national territory — a challenge that successive governments have sought

to address, with limited success.

Systemic Challenges and Prospects

Despite its scientific depth, France faces enduring systemic tensions. The separation between research and higher education institutions weakens synergies in training and innovation. The ANR's modest competitive funding base (around €1 billion annually) constrains project flexibility. Moreover, public research organizations are often criticized for bureaucratic inertia and weak industry engagement, though reforms are ongoing.

Still, France continues to rank among Europe's top scientific producers. It is one of the largest recipients of **Horizon Europe** funding and a key driver of **EU-level initiatives**, including joint undertakings in health, quantum computing, and artificial intelligence. The **France 2030** strategy signals renewed state commitment to R&D-led reindustrialization, suggesting a model that blends national ambition with European integration.

3.3 Belgium: Federal Complexity and International Integration

Belgium's research and development (R&D) landscape is characterized by a high level of scientific output, strong international collaboration, and an unusually decentralized governance structure. As a federal state with substantial regional autonomy, Belgium's innovation system is shaped by its **three regions** — **Flanders**, **Wallonia**, and **Brussels-Capital** — each responsible for its own science, technology, and innovation (STI) policies. Despite this complexity, Belgium maintains robust R&D performance, spending around **3.5% of GDP on R&D**, one of the highest ratios in the European Union (Eurostat, 2024).

Federal Structure and Regional Autonomy

Since the 1980s, Belgium has undergone a series of constitutional reforms that have devolved most competencies in education and research policy to its federated regions and communities. This means that there is **no single national R&D policy**. Instead, each region designs and funds its own research programs, universities, and innovation support mechanisms.

- **Flanders** has established a dynamic research ecosystem, centered on KU Leuven, Ghent University, and research institutions such as **imec**, a world-leading nanoelectronics and digital technology hub. The region emphasizes applied research, industrial collaboration, and strategic clusters in areas like life sciences, cleantech, and logistics.
- **Wallonia**, historically less industrially competitive, has focused on catching up through public investment and EU cohesion funds. Flagship institutions include the University of Liège and the University of Louvain-la-Neuve. Wallonia also hosts strategic clusters, notably in aerospace, agri-food, and biopharmaceuticals.
- **Brussels-Capital** hosts numerous research-oriented think tanks and international

organizations, including many EU institutions. Its three universities (ULB, VUB, and Université Saint-Louis) maintain high levels of international collaboration, often in social sciences, policy studies, and legal research.

This federal diversity allows for tailored innovation strategies, but also creates coordination challenges, especially when pursuing joint national priorities or interfacing with European-level programs.

Funding Architecture and Research Institutions

Overall R&D investment in Belgium is relatively high, with **total expenditure exceeding €17 billion** (Eurostat, 2024). Approximately **60% of this comes from the private sector**, underscoring a strong industrial R&D base, particularly in Flanders. Public research funding is allocated through regional ministries, with mechanisms for both institutional (core) and competitive project-based funding.

Key research institutions include:

- **imec** (Flanders): A global leader in nano- and microelectronics, quantum technologies, and AI hardware.
- **VIB** (Flanders Institute for Biotechnology): A life sciences research institute affiliated with Flemish universities.
- **Wagralim** (Wallonia): A major agri-food innovation cluster.
- **Interuniversity Microelectronics Center (IMEC)**: With global partnerships across the semiconductor industry.

Universities play a central role, especially since the Bologna Process spurred reforms toward integrating education and research. In both Flemish and Francophone institutions, **PhD training** and **EU-funded research** have become core activities.

EU Integration and International Profile

Belgium is one of the most internationally integrated R&D systems in Europe. It consistently ranks among the **top recipients of Horizon Europe funding**, relative to its population. Belgian researchers are highly active in European research consortia and joint undertakings, benefiting from the proximity of EU institutions and policy networks.

Moreover, Belgium hosts several **European research infrastructures**, including parts of the **European Spallation Source**, **CLARIN**, and various digital and biomedical platforms. It is also home to the **EUREKA Secretariat**, supporting European industrial R&D cooperation.

The Belgian model of research governance — polycentric and interdependent — fosters a high

degree of **cross-border collaboration**, especially with the Netherlands, Germany, and France. This is particularly visible in **cross-border health research**, **materials science**, and **transport innovation**.

Innovation Ecosystems and Industry Linkages

Belgium supports a number of vibrant innovation ecosystems, often anchored by universities, incubators, and regional development agencies:

- **Leuven** has emerged as a high-tech innovation hub, supported by KU Leuven, imec, and a cluster of spin-offs and startups in photonics, semiconductors, and medical devices.
- **Liège** and **Charleroi** are growing as aerospace and logistics centers, with links to Airbus and SAFRAN.
- **Ghent** is a leader in plant biotechnology and cleantech, driven by the university and the port's industrial base.

The government supports industry–academia collaboration through instruments like **R&D tax incentives**, **SME vouchers**, and **cluster platforms** (e.g., Flanders Make, BioWin). Regional innovation agencies such as **VLAIO (Flanders)** and **SPW Recherche (Wallonia)** play pivotal roles in coordinating policy and funding.

Challenges and Future Outlook

While Belgium excels in research output and international networking, it faces several structural challenges:

- **Coordination inefficiencies** across regions, which can create duplication or policy misalignment.
- **Fragmentation** of resources and policy instruments, limiting scale and impact.
- **Underrepresentation** in some high-tech manufacturing segments, despite strong academic science.

Still, Belgium is well-positioned to remain at the forefront of European research — especially through its role as an EU policy hub and its deep scientific networks. Continued investment in infrastructure, talent attraction, and regional cohesion will be key to sustaining its innovation performance.

3.4 The Netherlands: Applied Excellence and Global Integration

The Netherlands has long cultivated a knowledge economy characterized by strong university–industry collaboration, pragmatic science policy, and a robust international orientation. As of 2024, the country allocates approximately **2.3% of its GDP** to research and development (R&D), amounting to around **€21 billion annually**, of which nearly **60% originates from the private**

sector (CBS, 2024). Dutch research is widely recognized for its **applied orientation**, high-quality scientific output, and alignment with global innovation networks.

Governance and Strategic Orientation

Dutch research and innovation policy is coordinated by the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW) and the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy (EZK), with implementation led by the **Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO)** and **TNO** (Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research).

Since 2011, the Netherlands has operated under a **Top Sectors Policy**, which prioritizes investment in nine economic sectors deemed crucial for national competitiveness, including agri-food, life sciences, energy, water, chemicals, and high-tech systems. These sectors bring together government, industry, and academia in so-called **"golden triangles"** of collaboration — a hallmark of Dutch innovation governance.

In parallel, **the National Science Agenda** outlines broader thematic research goals in areas such as health, sustainability, digitalization, and social cohesion, promoting interdisciplinary research and societal impact.

Public Funding and Research Institutions

Public R&D funding in the Netherlands totals roughly **€9–10 billion** per year, administered primarily through NWO, the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), and direct university block grants.

The NWO operates a competitive grant system akin to the European Research Council (ERC), funding both curiosity-driven and thematic research. It also co-finances major research infrastructures and collaborative programs with industry. Meanwhile, TNO functions as a **public–private research intermediary**, focused on applied technologies ranging from defence to digital innovation and climate solutions.

The **Dutch university system** — including globally ranked institutions such as **Utrecht University**, **Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)**, **Wageningen University & Research**, and the **University of Amsterdam** — plays a central role in R&D. These institutions balance academic excellence with societal engagement, reflecting the Dutch principle of "valorisatie" (knowledge valorization) — i.e., maximizing societal and economic return from research.

Industry Collaboration and Innovation Strength

The Netherlands is renowned for its **science–business synergy**, particularly in fields like

agritech, photonics, energy, and health. Regional innovation ecosystems — often organized as **science parks or living labs** — foster startup growth, university spin-offs, and SME engagement.

Key examples include:

- **Wageningen Campus**: A leading agri-food innovation cluster linking academia, multinational firms (e.g., Unilever, FrieslandCampina), and startups.
- **Brainport Eindhoven**: An advanced technology hub centered on electronics, AI, and manufacturing, anchored by TU Eindhoven and ASML, the global leader in semiconductor lithography machines.
- **Leiden Bio Science Park**: One of Europe's top biotech clusters, supported by Leiden University and a dense concentration of life sciences firms.

These ecosystems are backed by government support mechanisms such as the **Innovation Box tax regime**, the **WBSO R&D tax deduction**, and regional development agencies (e.g., Oost NL, InnovationQuarter).

European and Global Integration

The Netherlands is an active and influential participant in **EU research programs**, consistently ranking among the **top recipients of Horizon Europe funding**. Dutch universities and research institutes frequently coordinate multi-country consortia and contribute to strategic EU missions in climate, health, and digital technology.

Moreover, the Netherlands hosts key European research infrastructures and collaborates intensively with neighboring countries, notably Belgium and Germany. The country's open science agenda — exemplified by Plan S and national mandates for open access — has made it a leader in research transparency and reproducibility.

Internationalization is further driven by the high level of **foreign researchers and students** in Dutch institutions, which often use English as the primary language of instruction and research dissemination.

Strengths and Challenges

The Dutch research model excels in its **applied orientation, institutional integration, and global outlook**. It consistently ranks highly in the European Innovation Scoreboard and the Global Innovation Index.

Nevertheless, several challenges are evident:

- **Pressure on research funding**, particularly for fundamental science, as the applied emphasis has sometimes drawn resources away from blue-sky research.

- **Infrastructure bottlenecks**, especially in housing and facilities for rapidly growing university campuses.
- **Talent retention**, as competitive pressures and high living costs risk discouraging young researchers.

In response, recent government initiatives — such as the **National Growth Fund** (Groeifonds) — aim to inject long-term investment into science, education, and innovation infrastructure, with a focus on green technologies, artificial intelligence, and biotechnology.

Outlook

The Netherlands is likely to remain a European leader in R&D, especially in translating science into societal solutions. Its compact geography, deep research–industry ties, and commitment to open and responsible research place it at the forefront of mission-driven innovation in Europe. Sustained investment in fundamental science and research capacity will be key to maintaining this momentum in the coming decades.

3.5 Switzerland: Precision, Excellence, and Global Competitiveness

Switzerland is widely regarded as one of the most research-intensive and innovation-driven countries in the world. Its research and development (R&D) system is anchored in a strong tradition of academic excellence, close collaboration between science and industry, and consistent public and private investment. As of 2024, Switzerland invests over **3.4% of its GDP** in R&D—one of the highest ratios globally—amounting to approximately **CHF 25 billion (c. €26 billion)** annually (Swiss Federal Statistical Office, 2024).

Institutional Framework and Funding Landscape

The Swiss science and innovation system is coordinated at the federal level, primarily through the **State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI)**. Key public funding bodies include:

- The **Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)**, responsible for basic research funding through competitive grants.
- **Innosuisse**, Switzerland's innovation agency, which supports applied research and startup development.
- Direct federal funding to the **Swiss Federal Institutes of Technology** (ETH Domain) and cantonal universities.

The ETH Domain—comprising **ETH Zurich**, **EPFL Lausanne**, and associated research institutes—is at the heart of Swiss research excellence. ETH Zurich, in particular, is consistently ranked among the top 10 global universities in science and engineering, with strengths in computer

science, quantum physics, material sciences, and environmental engineering.

The SNSF allocates over CHF 1 billion annually for fundamental research, emphasizing scientific quality, international collaboration, and open science principles. Meanwhile, Innosuisse links academia with the private sector through innovation projects, entrepreneurship training, and regional networks.

Private Sector R&D and Industry Linkages

The Swiss private sector plays a dominant role in national R&D expenditure, accounting for approximately **two-thirds** of total spending. Global corporations such as **Roche, Novartis, Nestlé, ABB**, and **UBS** maintain large R&D operations within Switzerland, particularly in **pharmaceuticals, life sciences, precision engineering, and digital finance**.

Public–private cooperation is deeply embedded in the Swiss model, with numerous **Competence Centers**, **Technology Transfer Offices**, and **science parks** fostering innovation. Institutions like **Switzerland Innovation** support collaborative R&D in fields like AI, robotics, medtech, and clean energy, facilitating university-industry partnerships.

The **Swiss start-up ecosystem**, though modest in size compared to the U.S. or Germany, has grown significantly in recent years. Sectors such as **biotech, fintech, and cleantech** benefit from targeted public funding, a strong intellectual property environment, and access to venture capital.

Research Culture and Internationalisation

Switzerland is among the most internationalized research systems in the world. Over **50% of doctoral students and academic staff** in Swiss universities are foreign nationals. The country also ranks highly in terms of international co-publications and cross-border research collaborations.

Despite being outside the European Union, Switzerland is **deeply integrated into the European Research Area (ERA)**. Although it has faced temporary restrictions in Horizon Europe participation due to political negotiations, Swiss researchers have maintained collaborative links with European peers through **bilateral agreements**, **associate funding schemes**, and **EFTA cooperation**.

Swiss research is also underpinned by a strong commitment to **academic freedom**, research integrity, and **open access policies**. The SNSF and Swiss universities have been pioneers in the Plan S initiative and support full and immediate access to publicly funded research outputs.

Regional Clusters and Centers of Excellence

Switzerland's innovation landscape is marked by a network of **regional excellence clusters**, often anchored by leading universities and industrial partners:

- **Zurich**: Home to ETH Zurich, the University of Zurich, and a dense concentration of AI, robotics, and financial technology firms.
- **Basel**: A global hub for pharmaceuticals and life sciences, led by the presence of Roche, Novartis, and the University of Basel.
- **Lausanne and Geneva**: Anchored by EPFL and the University of Geneva, this region excels in information technology, digital humanities, and environmental research.
- **Biel/Bienne**: A center for microengineering and watchmaking innovation, bridging traditional and cutting-edge manufacturing.

These hubs contribute not only to national innovation but also to regional economic dynamism and global competitiveness.

Strengths and Systemic Resilience

Switzerland's R&D system is characterized by:

- **Stability and continuity in funding**, largely insulated from political volatility.
- **Institutional autonomy** of universities and research institutes.
- **High trust and coordination** between public authorities, academia, and industry.
- A **deep talent pool**, sustained by attractive academic careers, international openness, and quality of life.

However, Switzerland faces several strategic challenges:

- Ensuring **continued EU research integration**, especially in light of political tensions.
- Addressing **regional disparities** in research intensity and infrastructure.
- Responding to global competition in **deep tech and data-intensive research**, where scale increasingly matters.

Outlook

Switzerland's research and innovation landscape is likely to retain its global standing in the coming decades. Maintaining access to European research funding and networks will be vital, as will scaling domestic innovation efforts in frontier areas such as quantum computing, personalized medicine, and sustainable energy. With its balanced model of public-private investment, research autonomy, and international openness, Switzerland remains a benchmark for small-country excellence in science and technology.

3.6 Austria: A Coordinated Approach to Innovation and Research

Austria has steadily emerged as a strong performer in the European research and innovation ecosystem. Although not among the EU's largest economies, Austria's investment in research and development (R&D) is substantial relative to its size, with a clear strategic emphasis on coordination between public policy, academic institutions, and the private sector. As of 2024, Austria invests approximately **3.2% of its GDP** in R&D, equivalent to around **€15.6 billion** annually (Statistics Austria, 2024), placing it among the top R&D spenders in Europe as a percentage of GDP.

National Research Strategy and Governance

Austria's R&D landscape is framed by a **multi-year federal strategy**, the most recent being the *RTI Strategy 2030* (Research, Technology and Innovation), which emphasizes missions such as climate neutrality, digital transformation, and strengthening Austria's international competitiveness. The policy is coordinated by the **Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research (BMBWF)** and the **Federal Ministry for Climate Action, Environment, Energy, Mobility, Innovation and Technology (BMK)**.

Core public research funding is delivered through:

- The **Austrian Science Fund (FWF)** – the primary body for financing basic research.
- The **Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG)** – responsible for applied and industry-oriented research.
- Direct public funding to universities and extra-university research institutions.

Austria's funding agencies collaborate closely to avoid duplication and promote synergy, with a growing emphasis on outcome-oriented funding and innovation impact.

Higher Education and Extra-University Institutions

Austria's university system includes **22 public universities**, with **University of Vienna**, **TU Wien**, **Graz University of Technology**, and **University of Innsbruck** being particularly research-active. University funding follows performance-based models, rewarding research output, third-party funding, and societal impact.

Beyond universities, Austria maintains a strong **extra-university research sector**, led by institutions such as:

- **AIT – Austrian Institute of Technology**, focused on applied research in energy, mobility, health, and security.
- **IIASA – International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis**, a globally renowned think tank for sustainability and complexity science.
- **IST Austria (Institute of Science and Technology Austria)**, an elite research center with a

focus on basic science.

The extra-university sector complements university research by hosting large-scale infrastructure and interdisciplinary programs, especially in emerging technologies.

Private Sector R&D and Public–Private Collaboration

The Austrian private sector contributes approximately **two-thirds** of total national R&D expenditure. Major contributors include the **automotive, machinery, IT, and pharmaceutical sectors**, with companies like **AVL List, Voestalpine, Infineon Austria**, and **Boehringer Ingelheim** operating large R&D units within the country.

Austria promotes public–private collaboration through instruments such as:

- **COMET (Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies)** – thematic research hubs co-financed by the public sector and industry.
- **Christian Doppler Laboratories**, embedded within universities to foster basic research with industrial relevance.
- The **Spin-off Fellowship Programme**, encouraging academic entrepreneurship and commercialization.

These initiatives have helped Austria maintain a well-integrated innovation system that bridges academic discovery and market application.

Regional Clusters and Areas of Specialization

Austria's innovation system is **regionally distributed**, with strong clusters of excellence emerging in:

- **Styria (Graz)**: A hub for mobility, materials science, and green technologies.
- **Upper Austria (Linz)**: Specializing in industrial engineering, automation, and AI.
- **Vienna**: The national center for life sciences, ICT, and academic research.
- **Lower Austria**: Focused on agri-tech and energy research through institutions like **IST Austria**.

These regions benefit from tailored **smart specialization strategies**, EU structural funds, and high-quality infrastructure, such as technology parks and incubators.

Internationalisation and EU Integration

Austria is deeply integrated into **EU research and innovation programs**, particularly Horizon Europe. Austrian institutions consistently rank among the top performers in terms of participation and funding received. The **FWF** and **FFG** also support bilateral cooperation with

countries such as Germany, Switzerland, and South Korea.

Moreover, Austria is a strong advocate for **Open Science**, with national mandates for open access and research data management being gradually implemented across universities and funding bodies.

Challenges and Strategic Priorities

Despite its strengths, Austria's research and innovation system faces several long-term challenges:

- **Enhancing university autonomy and flexibility** in hiring and funding allocation.
- **Increasing the attractiveness of academic careers**, particularly at the early-career stage.
- Narrowing the **gap between academic excellence and innovation diffusion**, especially in SMEs.
- **Boosting investment in breakthrough innovation**, particularly in deep tech and frontier sciences.

The RTI Strategy 2030 outlines Austria's ambition to become a European leader in green innovation, AI, and quantum research, with sustained investment in education and lifelong learning to support this transition.

Outlook

Austria represents a successful case of **coordinated and well-funded research governance** in a medium-sized European economy. Its blend of academic strength, applied focus, and industrial engagement creates a resilient and responsive innovation system. With careful attention to policy coherence, talent retention, and global integration, Austria is poised to expand its role in shaping Europe's future research and technology agenda.

———

Chapter 4: The Role of Academic Research in Regional Innovation and Growth

Academic research has long served as a critical engine of technological advancement, economic competitiveness, and social progress. In the European context, research universities and public research institutions not only generate knowledge but also serve as anchor institutions within broader regional innovation ecosystems. By fostering partnerships with industry, nurturing high-skilled talent, and facilitating the commercialization of research, academic institutions can catalyze innovation-led growth across metropolitan areas and regions. This chapter explores how countries like Germany, France, the Netherlands, Belgium, Austria, and Switzerland have leveraged their academic sectors to stimulate regional development, drawing comparisons with

more well-known global examples such as Silicon Valley in the United States.

4.1 Academic Institutions as Innovation Hubs

Across Europe, universities have evolved beyond their traditional roles of education and basic research. They now function as key nodes in innovation systems, particularly through:

- **Technology transfer and spin-offs**: Many European universities host dedicated technology transfer offices (TTOs) that manage intellectual property and support the commercialization of academic discoveries.
- **Research infrastructure and talent clustering**: Universities attract and retain skilled researchers, postdoctoral fellows, and PhD students, forming knowledge-intensive communities that spur creativity and problem-solving.
- **Triple helix collaboration**: Effective collaboration among academia, government, and industry (the "triple helix" model) underpins regional innovation strategies in most advanced European economies.

4.2 Regional Examples of Academic-Led Innovation

While Europe does not have a singular equivalent to Silicon Valley, several regions have developed strong academic-industry clusters, often revolving around elite research institutions.

Germany – Munich and Baden-Württemberg

Germany's federal system has enabled multiple regional innovation centers to emerge, closely linked to strong technical universities and research institutes:

- **Munich** hosts a dense ecosystem around the **Technical University of Munich (TUM)** and the **Ludwig Maximilian University (LMU)**. These institutions collaborate with Siemens, BMW, and numerous start-ups in areas ranging from AI and robotics to biotech.
- **Baden-Württemberg**, home to the **University of Stuttgart**, **Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)**, and Fraunhofer Institutes, is a key driver of innovation in engineering, automotive technology, and advanced manufacturing.

These clusters benefit from federal and state-level support, venture capital initiatives, and programs to promote university–industry collaboration.

France – Paris-Saclay and Grenoble

France has invested heavily in building large-scale academic-industrial ecosystems:

- **Paris-Saclay**, a flagship innovation cluster south of Paris, brings together leading universities (e.g., **Université Paris-Saclay**), national research bodies (e.g., **CNRS**, **CEA**), and corporate R&D (e.g., Thales, Danone, EDF). It is often described as France's answer to Silicon

Valley.

- **Grenoble**, anchored by **Université Grenoble Alpes** and the **CEA Leti** research institute, is a hub for microelectronics and nanotechnology, with global players such as STMicroelectronics and Schneider Electric present.

France's model emphasizes the integration of basic research with applied industrial goals, supported by public investment and EU structural funds.

The Netherlands – Eindhoven and Delft

The Netherlands has successfully nurtured compact but highly productive research–industry clusters:

- **Eindhoven**, home to **Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e)** and the **High Tech Campus**, is a global leader in photonics, semiconductors, and industrial design. The presence of Philips and ASML has helped create a dense innovation network.
- **Delft**, built around **TU Delft**, specializes in engineering, aerospace, and environmental technology, with extensive ties to both Dutch and international firms.

Dutch innovation policy emphasizes "top sectors" and public–private partnerships, with universities acting as central nodes in each regional specialization.

Belgium – Leuven and Ghent

Belgium's research strategy is largely devolved to its linguistic regions, leading to regionally distinct academic–innovation clusters:

- **Leuven**, with **KU Leuven** at its core, is one of Europe's most successful research universities in terms of patenting, licensing income, and spin-off creation. It plays a central role in the **FlandersLifeSciences** and **SmartHub Leuven** clusters.
- **Ghent**, another major innovation center, hosts **Ghent University** and several bio-innovation parks, focusing on agri-tech, biotech, and cleantech.

The Flemish government supports these ecosystems through innovation vouchers, R&D tax incentives, and targeted cluster funding.

Austria – Styria and Vienna

Austria's federal innovation approach has created strong regional specializations:

- **Styria (Graz)** has become a key hub for **green tech**, mobility innovation, and materials science. **Graz University of Technology** and **JOANNEUM RESEARCH** lead the academic contribution to this ecosystem.
- **Vienna** hosts numerous institutions including the **University of Vienna** and **Medical**

University of Vienna, and is a hub for life sciences and ICT, connected through initiatives such as **INiTS** and **Vienna BioCenter**.

These regional innovation zones benefit from integration with EU-funded smart specialization strategies.

Switzerland – Zurich and Lausanne

Switzerland's decentralized structure has fostered academic–industry collaboration across multiple cantons:

- **Zurich**, centered around **ETH Zurich**, has a vibrant start-up ecosystem, particularly in robotics, machine learning, and fintech. ETH's strong ties to Swiss Re, Credit Suisse, and Google Zurich have reinforced its status as a regional tech magnet.
- **Lausanne**, anchored by **École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)**, has developed a dynamic innovation cluster, including the **EPFL Innovation Park**, which hosts over 200 start-ups and research units.

Switzerland's high investment in research (3.4% of GDP) and stable innovation policy framework make it one of Europe's top performers in academic-driven regional growth.

4.3 Cross-Cutting Success Factors

Despite institutional and political diversity across these countries, several cross-cutting elements explain the success of academic-driven regional innovation in Europe:

- **Long-term public investment** in both basic and applied research.
- **Strong university autonomy** and incentives for commercialization.
- **Multilevel governance**, allowing for coordination between national, regional, and EU initiatives.
- **Interdisciplinary clusters** with co-location of academia and industry.
- **Open labor markets** and talent mobility, often supported by EU mobility programs (e.g., Erasmus+, Marie Skłodowska-Curie).

Europe may lack a singular innovation engine like Silicon Valley, but it compensates through a **distributed network of academic–industrial clusters**, each embedded within its own regional strengths and priorities.

4.4 Conclusion

Academic research plays a transformative role in regional development across Europe. As evidenced by the experiences of Germany, France, the Netherlands, Belgium, Austria, and Switzerland, universities and research institutions have become central to innovation

ecosystems. While regional strengths vary—from photonics in Eindhoven to AI in Zurich—what binds these systems together is a common belief in the power of knowledge, collaboration, and public investment to drive long-term growth. As Europe navigates a period of green and digital transformation, its academic regions are likely to play an even greater strategic role in shaping the continent's innovation frontier.

———

Chapter 5: Europe in Global Comparison

When examining the landscape of global research and innovation, Europe stands as a formidable force. With a long tradition of academic excellence, robust public funding, and a commitment to collaborative scientific endeavour, European countries have collectively positioned themselves as global leaders in research output and technological progress. However, in an increasingly competitive global environment—marked by the rise of China and the enduring dominance of the United States—Europe faces both structural challenges and strategic opportunities. This chapter evaluates how key European economies—Germany, France, the Netherlands, Belgium, Austria, and Switzerland—compare with global leaders in research and development (R&D), particularly the United States and East Asian nations.

5.1 Global Investment in R&D: Where Europe Stands

According to the latest data from the OECD and Eurostat, global gross domestic expenditure on R&D (GERD) has grown steadily, surpassing €2.5 trillion annually. Three blocs dominate this landscape:

- **The United States**, with over $700 billion in R&D spending annually, equivalent to 3.4% of its GDP.
- **China**, now investing over 2.6% of GDP in R&D, rapidly closing the quality gap in scientific output and innovation.
- **The European Union**, collectively spending around 2.3% of GDP on R&D, with notable intra-EU variation.

Among European countries:

- **Germany** is the clear R&D leader, spending over €120 billion annually (3.1% of GDP).
- **France** invests approximately €60 billion (2.3% of GDP).
- **The Netherlands**, **Austria**, and **Belgium** spend around 2.2–3.2% of GDP, often with strong corporate contributions.
- **Switzerland**, though not an EU member, consistently ranks at the top globally with R&D investment nearing 3.4% of GDP.

Compared to these benchmarks, Europe remains strong in R&D intensity and infrastructure, but

it lags behind the US and increasingly behind China in terms of innovation scale and transformative technological impact.

5.2 Academic Excellence and Research Output

In terms of research quality and academic output, Europe remains a powerhouse. The Times Higher Education and QS World University Rankings consistently place several European institutions—such as ETH Zurich, LMU Munich, KU Leuven, and Paris Sciences et Lettres—among the world's top universities. Europe also leads in collaborative research:

- EU Framework Programmes (e.g., Horizon Europe) fund thousands of cross-border projects annually.
- The European Research Council (ERC) supports frontier research at levels of scientific excellence that match or exceed those in the US.

However, Europe produces fewer Nobel laureates and Fields Medal winners than the United States and less frequently translates research excellence into commercial breakthroughs. While European countries perform well in scientific publishing and citations per capita, the conversion of research into innovation—what economists term "innovation yield"—is often lower than in the US or Israel.

5.3 Industry-Academia Collaboration and Technology Transfer

One of the most cited differences between Europe and the United States is the maturity and efficiency of knowledge transfer mechanisms:

- **In the US**, top research universities like Stanford, MIT, and UC Berkeley have played catalytic roles in spawning industries (e.g., Silicon Valley, biotech in Boston).
- **Europe**, despite significant public funding, often faces structural bottlenecks in commercializing research—owing to risk aversion, fragmented innovation systems, and limited venture capital.

Nevertheless, progress is visible:

- Germany's **Fraunhofer model** exemplifies a world-leading applied research ecosystem.
- The Netherlands and Belgium have pioneered **university spin-off ecosystems** around Eindhoven and Leuven, respectively.
- Switzerland's ETH Zurich and EPFL Lausanne have generated high-impact tech start-ups with global reach.

Yet, the absence of a singular European equivalent to Silicon Valley reflects broader constraints in venture financing, regulatory harmonization, and market scale across national borders.

5.4 Innovation and Patents

Patent applications provide another lens through which to assess comparative innovation:

- According to the European Patent Office (EPO), Germany and France are consistently among the top five global filers.
- The Netherlands, Belgium, and Switzerland punch above their weight in patents per capita, particularly in biotech, chemicals, and electronics.
- In contrast, US firms and institutions dominate in areas like AI, quantum computing, and next-generation semiconductors.

Europe's innovation model excels in **incremental and engineering-based innovation**, especially in sectors such as automotive, pharmaceuticals, and green tech. However, it underperforms in **radical, platform-based innovation** that defines 21st-century technological dominance.

5.5 The China Factor

China's ascent in R&D and innovation presents both a challenge and a strategic partner for Europe:

- Chinese universities are now entering global top rankings, and the country leads in AI publications, high-speed rail patents, and battery technologies.
- While Europe maintains quality leadership in many research domains, China's **state-coordinated model** allows rapid scaling of innovations.
- EU-China research collaboration remains substantial but increasingly scrutinized due to geopolitical tensions and concerns over intellectual property.

In this context, countries like Germany and France are pursuing **research sovereignty** strategies to protect critical technologies, while still maintaining selective collaboration with Chinese counterparts.

5.6 Strengths and Gaps in Europe's Research System

Key strengths of Europe's R&D landscape include:

- Strong public research institutions with global reputations.
- Deep talent pools, aided by Erasmus+ and doctoral mobility.
- World-class infrastructure in specific sectors (e.g., CERN, ITER, EUMETSAT).
- Policy commitment to open science and cross-border collaboration.

Persistent challenges involve:

- Insufficient private R&D investment in many member states.
- Limited scale-up capacity for start-ups.
- Regulatory fragmentation across national jurisdictions.
- Underdeveloped venture capital and innovation financing ecosystems.

5.7 Conclusion

Europe is a global leader in academic research and maintains a competitive position in R&D investment, scientific collaboration, and innovation infrastructure. Countries like Germany, France, and Switzerland continue to anchor the continent's scientific prominence. However, when viewed against the scale and speed of innovation in the United States and China, Europe's weaknesses in commercialization, regulatory agility, and innovation financing become evident.

Addressing these gaps will be critical if Europe is to remain at the forefront of global research and technological leadership in the coming decades. Strengthening public-private collaboration, harmonizing regulatory frameworks, and boosting risk capital for science-based ventures are not just policy options—they are strategic imperatives.

———

Chapter 6: Challenges and Opportunities for the Future

The evolving landscape of global research and innovation poses both significant challenges and promising opportunities for European countries. While nations such as Germany, France, Switzerland, and the Netherlands possess robust scientific ecosystems, Europe as a whole must contend with complex structural and strategic constraints. At the same time, the transition to a knowledge-based and green economy, digitalisation, and shifting geopolitical dynamics offer a unique window for European research policy to reposition itself for global leadership. This chapter explores the critical challenges currently facing European R&D systems and outlines strategic avenues through which they might be addressed.

6.1 Structural Fragmentation and Integration Gaps

A major challenge in the European research area lies in its **institutional and regulatory fragmentation**. Despite progress in harmonisation through instruments like Horizon Europe, national research systems remain highly diverse in funding models, administrative procedures, and research priorities. This fragmentation results in:

- **Duplicative research investments** across borders.
- Administrative burdens for cross-border collaborations.
- Inconsistent levels of research intensity among EU member states, ranging from under 1% to over 3% of GDP.

The European Research Area (ERA) initiative aims to address these asymmetries, but implementation has been uneven. Strengthening the ERA's mechanisms for **policy coordination, joint funding instruments**, and **mutual recognition of academic qualifications and research**

careers is essential for unlocking Europe's full scientific potential.

6.2 Innovation Bottlenecks and Commercialisation Gaps

Although Europe excels in producing high-quality academic research, the continent continues to underperform in translating discoveries into commercially viable innovations. This "European paradox" is well documented and stems from several factors:

- **Limited venture capital ecosystems** compared to the US or China.
- **Risk-averse institutional cultures** within academia and funding agencies.
- **Weak entrepreneurial support systems** at universities and research centres.

Countries like the Netherlands and Switzerland have developed strong start-up ecosystems and university-industry collaboration models, but these remain the exception rather than the norm across the continent. More systematic efforts are needed to **bridge the gap between lab and market**, particularly through enhanced technology transfer, industry PhD programmes, and innovation clusters.

6.3 Brain Drain and Researcher Mobility

Europe faces intensifying global competition for scientific talent. While intra-European mobility has improved through the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and the Erasmus+ framework, the continent still experiences a **net outflow of top researchers** to institutions in the United States and, increasingly, East Asia.

The root causes include:

- Lower academic salaries and fewer tenure-track positions.
- Rigid institutional hierarchies and career progression structures.
- Insufficient investment in research infrastructure in several countries.

Some countries, like Switzerland and Germany, have managed to **retain and attract global talent** through excellence initiatives and targeted recruitment policies. However, a broader strategy is needed, particularly in southern and eastern Europe, to offer competitive and attractive research environments.

6.4 Geopolitical Uncertainty and Research Sovereignty

Global research collaboration is increasingly influenced by geopolitical tension, especially in light of strained relations with China and post-Brexit shifts in UK-EU science policy. These dynamics raise concerns over:

- **Research security** and intellectual property theft.
- Dependence on foreign technology in critical sectors.

- The need for **research sovereignty** in areas such as semiconductors, AI, and biotechnology.

France and Germany have responded with national strategies focused on technological autonomy. At the EU level, programmes like **Important Projects of Common European Interest (IPCEIs)** are designed to foster pan-European R&D consortia in strategic areas. However, balancing openness in science with protective policy instruments remains a delicate and unresolved issue.

6.5 Climate Transition and Mission-Oriented Research

Perhaps the most significant opportunity lies in aligning research investments with the EU's **Green Deal and climate neutrality goals**. Europe has the scientific capacity to lead in the development of sustainable energy, circular economy technologies, and climate adaptation solutions. However, this will require:

- **Massive investments** in applied R&D and demonstration projects.
- **Mission-oriented research programmes** akin to those pioneered in the US (e.g., ARPA-E).
- Stronger partnerships between universities, municipalities, and industrial consortia.

Countries like Austria and the Netherlands have already oriented significant portions of their research funding towards **climate and sustainability-related innovation**, setting examples for others to follow.

6.6 Towards a More Resilient and Strategic Research Policy

To overcome these challenges and seize emerging opportunities, European research policy must evolve in several strategic directions:

- **Deepening ERA integration** through shared infrastructures, joint programming, and interoperable evaluation systems.
- **Scaling innovation financing**, including patient capital for deep tech ventures and SME-led research.
- **Strengthening career paths and mobility** for researchers across borders and sectors.
- **Enhancing strategic autonomy**, particularly in AI, health, defence technologies, and advanced manufacturing.

Furthermore, Europe must amplify its global influence by **strengthening science diplomacy** and positioning itself as a central player in international research coalitions, especially in global public goods such as climate, health, and food systems.

6.7 Conclusion

European research and innovation systems are among the most sophisticated and productive in

the world. However, in a time of accelerating global change, the EU and its member states must act decisively to address longstanding internal constraints. Structural reforms, new funding paradigms, and a sharper strategic focus can help Europe reclaim and redefine its global leadership in science and innovation.

The coming decade will be pivotal. The choices made today will determine whether Europe becomes a leader in shaping the scientific frontiers of tomorrow—or a follower adapting to advances made elsewhere.

———

Chapter 7: Academic Research and Regional Innovation Ecosystems

The transformative role of academic research in driving innovation, regional development, and industrial renewal is increasingly central to national strategies across Europe. While countries such as the United States have long exemplified the power of university-centered ecosystems—Silicon Valley being the archetype—the European landscape is more heterogeneous and decentralised, yet no less significant. This chapter examines how academic research in selected European countries contributes to regional innovation systems, highlighting successful models and areas of emerging strength.

7.1 Universities as Innovation Anchors

In modern knowledge economies, universities serve not merely as educational institutions but as **anchor institutions** that generate knowledge, attract talent, and catalyse innovation. Their roles extend across:

- **Basic and applied research** with commercial potential.
- **Incubation of start-ups and spin-offs**.
- **Training of high-skilled personnel**.
- **Collaboration with industry**, often through research parks and consortia.

European universities are increasingly embedded in regional innovation strategies, particularly where national or EU funding frameworks incentivise public-private partnerships and translational research.

7.2 Germany: Innovation through the Fraunhofer and Cluster Models

Germany offers a distinctive model where universities and applied research institutions like the **Fraunhofer Society** play pivotal roles in industrial innovation. Located near industrial hubs, Fraunhofer institutes work in tight collaboration with SMEs and large firms to develop market-ready technologies.

Additionally, **regional innovation clusters** such as those in Baden-Württemberg (e.g., Stuttgart) and Bavaria (e.g., Munich) integrate universities (LMU Munich, TU Munich) with advanced manufacturing, automotive, and IT sectors. This has led to vibrant ecosystems that mirror, in some aspects, Silicon Valley's virtuous cycles of innovation, venture capital, and research excellence.

7.3 France: Grandes Écoles and Tech Transfer Institutions

France's innovation model is shaped by a dual higher education system, where elite **Grandes Écoles** (e.g., École Polytechnique) and universities coexist. Institutions such as **INRIA** (National Institute for Research in Digital Science and Technology) and **CNRS** (National Centre for Scientific Research) play strategic roles in linking academia and industry.

Paris-Saclay, a large research and business cluster near the capital, exemplifies an effort to **replicate innovation ecosystems** by co-locating universities, corporate R&D centres (e.g., Thales, Danone), and start-ups within a shared research infrastructure. It aims to become the "French Silicon Valley" through integration of research, entrepreneurship, and education.

7.4 The Netherlands: University-Driven Ecosystems

The Netherlands excels in university-industry collaboration, supported by a strong culture of **valorisation**—the translation of academic knowledge into societal and economic impact. Eindhoven, home to **Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e)** and **High Tech Campus Eindhoven**, is one of Europe's most celebrated innovation ecosystems.

Eindhoven illustrates a model in which university research, public funding, and corporate innovation (e.g., Philips, ASML) coalesce to drive regional growth. The Dutch "triple helix" approach—integrating academia, government, and industry—is widely emulated and underpins national competitiveness in fields such as nanotechnology, photonics, and medical devices.

7.5 Switzerland: Excellence and Autonomy

Although not an EU member, **Switzerland** consistently ranks among the world's most innovative countries. ETH Zurich and EPFL (Lausanne) are elite institutions with strong research performance and entrepreneurial ecosystems. These universities benefit from high autonomy, substantial public investment, and strong ties with global industries in pharmaceuticals, precision instruments, and engineering.

Innovation parks near academic hubs, such as the EPFL Innovation Park, provide space for start-ups and R&D subsidiaries of multinational firms (e.g., Logitech, Nestlé). Switzerland's focus on

academic excellence, cross-disciplinary research, and quality infrastructure offers a model for Europe-wide emulation.

7.6 Belgium and Austria: Emerging Hubs

Belgium and Austria are increasingly positioning their academic institutions at the centre of regional innovation strategies. In Belgium, **KU Leuven** maintains strong industry links, particularly in life sciences, ICT, and engineering, with the **Leuven Research & Development (LRD)** centre acting as a tech transfer engine.

Austria's **Clusterland** strategy has supported research-driven regional clusters around institutions such as **TU Wien** and **Johannes Kepler University Linz**, particularly in clean tech, digitalisation, and mechatronics.

These countries highlight the importance of **place-based strategies** where universities are embedded in regional industrial contexts and policy frameworks that support innovation-led growth.

7.7 Lessons and Strategic Implications

Several key insights emerge from the European experience:

- **Distributed excellence**: Unlike the US model of a few dominant hubs, Europe benefits from a network of smaller but highly specialised innovation ecosystems.
- **Policy coherence**: Countries that align national research priorities with regional development strategies tend to achieve stronger innovation outcomes.
- **Scale and capital**: Despite success stories, many European ecosystems lack the scale and private investment levels of their global competitors.

To strengthen regional innovation, European countries must continue to invest in **research infrastructure, university autonomy, and international talent attraction**, while ensuring that universities are supported in their evolving third mission: economic and societal impact.

7.8 Conclusion

Academic research is a cornerstone of Europe's regional innovation capacity. Across the continent, universities and research institutes are evolving into entrepreneurial actors, driving the development of new technologies, industries, and economic dynamism. By deepening university-industry collaboration, scaling research-intensive clusters, and aligning policy instruments, European countries can unleash the full transformative power of academic research.

Chapter 8: Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

The comparative study of research and innovation systems in Germany, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Austria, and Switzerland highlights both the diversity and the common ambitions of continental Europe's leading economies. While differing in structure, governance, and historical traditions, these countries share a core understanding: **scientific research and innovation are strategic pillars for sustainable economic growth, global competitiveness, and societal resilience**.

8.1 Key Findings

A number of central conclusions emerge from the analysis:

1. **Public investment remains foundational**: All countries examined maintain strong public funding mechanisms for research and development (R&D), typically comprising 0.9–1.1% of GDP in direct government expenditure. This investment underpins universities, research institutes, and mission-oriented science.
2. **Private sector R&D drives scale**: In Germany, Switzerland, and the Netherlands in particular, corporate investment in R&D significantly exceeds public spending, often contributing more than half of total national R&D expenditure. Robust industry involvement not only increases total investment but also accelerates the commercialisation of research.
3. **Diverse institutional architectures**: The countries analysed differ significantly in their institutional landscapes—ranging from Germany's dual model of basic (Max Planck) and applied (Fraunhofer) research, to France's state-dominated research institutes (CNRS, CEA), and the Netherlands' valorisation-oriented universities. This diversity is a strength, offering multiple routes to innovation depending on context.
4. **Regional ecosystems are central to impact**: Innovation increasingly occurs within geographically bounded ecosystems—whether Eindhoven, Paris-Saclay, or Zurich. Academic institutions anchor these regions, supporting talent development, entrepreneurial activity, and collaboration with industry. This confirms that **place-based innovation strategies** are key to translating research into economic outcomes.
5. **Switzerland and the Netherlands excel in agility and outcomes**: Both countries demonstrate how relatively small nations can achieve outsized innovation impact through autonomy, targeted investment, and close university-industry integration.
6. **Europe still faces challenges in scale, capital, and coherence**: Despite individual successes, Europe as a whole still lags behind the United States and parts of Asia in total R&D expenditure, venture capital availability, and technology commercialisation. Fragmentation and uneven implementation of research strategies across member states remain persistent issues.

8.2 Strategic Policy Recommendations

In light of these findings, a number of actionable policy recommendations are proposed:

1. Increase and Stabilise Public R&D Investment

National governments should ensure sustained growth in public R&D budgets, targeting **2–3% of GDP** in total R&D expenditure by 2030. Stability in funding is essential for long-term research planning, talent attraction, and institutional development.

2. Enhance University Autonomy and Capacity

Empowering universities with **greater financial and academic autonomy** can enable more responsive, interdisciplinary, and impactful research. This should be coupled with investments in cutting-edge research infrastructure, particularly in data-intensive and green technologies.

3. Strengthen Technology Transfer Mechanisms

Countries must scale up and professionalise their **technology transfer offices (TTOs)** and innovation support structures. This includes training in intellectual property, entrepreneurship, and venture creation within academic institutions.

4. Support Regional Innovation Ecosystems

Policy should further promote **smart specialisation** strategies, where universities and industries within a region align to leverage local strengths. Targeted support for clusters in digital, life sciences, climate technology, and advanced manufacturing will yield high returns.

5. Foster Cross-Border Collaboration

Greater European integration in research, facilitated by **Horizon Europe**, COST actions, and bilateral cooperation, is essential. Joint research centres, mobility programs, and EU-wide innovation platforms should be prioritised.

6. Boost Private R&D and Venture Capital

Tax incentives, public-private co-investment funds, and innovation procurement policies can incentivise private R&D spending and help address Europe’s chronic underperformance in **venture capital intensity**.

7. Invest in Human Capital

Attracting and retaining talent remains crucial. Reforms in doctoral training, researcher mobility,

and academic career paths—particularly around tenure, interdisciplinarity, and diversity—will strengthen Europe's knowledge base.

8.3 Concluding Remarks

Europe possesses world-class universities, respected research institutions, and globally competitive companies. The challenge is to better align these assets within coherent, scalable, and future-oriented research and innovation systems. Countries such as Germany, the Netherlands, and Switzerland demonstrate that excellence is achievable through smart policies, regional engagement, and consistent investment.

As the continent navigates the twin transitions—**green and digital**—and responds to geopolitical shifts, a robust commitment to research and innovation is not merely desirable but essential. By learning from each other's strengths and scaling proven models of university-driven innovation, Europe can consolidate its position as a global leader in scientific discovery and technological progress.

———

References

1. BMBF (2024). *Federal Ministry of Education and Research – Budget 2024 Highlights*. Berlin: Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.

2. CNRS (2024). *Annual Report 2023–2024*. Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique. Available at: https://www.cnrs.fr

3. DFG (2024). *Facts and Figures*. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. Available at: https://www.dfg.de

4. European Commission (2024). *Horizon Europe Dashboard: Country Participation Statistics*. Brussels: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation.

5. Eurostat (2024). *Gross Domestic Expenditure on R&D (GERD) by Country*. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat

6. Federal Statistical Office of Switzerland (2024). *Research and Development in Switzerland: Key Indicators 2024*. Neuchâtel: FSO.

7. France Stratégie (2023). *Évaluation du plan France 2030: investissements, priorités et résultats attendus*. Paris: République Française.

8. FWO (2024). *Flemish Research Foundation Annual Report*. Brussels: Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek.

9. Innosuisse (2024). *Annual Activity Report*. Bern: Swiss Innovation Agency.

10. MESR (2024). *Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche — Budget 2024*. Paris: Gouvernement de France.

11. OECD (2024). *Main Science and Technology Indicators*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

12. RTI Strategy 2030 (2023). *Austria's Research, Technology and Innovation Strategy*. Vienna: Austrian Federal Chancellery.

13. SERI (2024). *Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation — Policy Priorities*. Bern: SERI.

14. SNSF (2024). *Swiss National Science Foundation Annual Report*. Bern: SNSF.

15. Statistics Austria (2024). *R&D Spending in Austria 2024*. Vienna: Statistik Austria.

16. Statistics Netherlands (CBS) (2024). *R&D Indicators and Funding Flows*. The Hague: Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek.

17. The Netherlands Enterprise Agency (RVO) (2024). *Top Sectors and Innovation Policy Overview*. The Hague: Government of the Netherlands.

18. TU Eindhoven (2023). *Impact and Innovation Report*. Eindhoven: TU/e Strategy Office.

19. UNESCO (2023). *Science Report: The Race Against Time for Smarter Development*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

20. Van Looy, B. & Debackere, K. (2022). *University–Industry Interaction in Flanders: Learning from Imec and KU Leuven*. Research Policy, 51(7), 104579.