# Part 3 - Nordic Research

# Northern Engines of Innovation

Research Systems in Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark

Date: August 2025

Subject: Global Economic Policy

## **Executive Summary**

This report, Northern Engines of Innovation: Research Systems in Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark, presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of how the Nordic countries have built globally competitive research and innovation systems. While small in population and geographically dispersed, these nations have consistently ranked among the world's most research-intensive, innovation-driven, and socially cohesive economies.

# **Strategic Investment in Research**

The Nordic countries invest heavily in research and development (R&D), with Sweden, Finland, and Denmark allocating approximately 3% or more of GDP to R&D—on par with or exceeding OECD and EU averages. Norway, while investing less as a share of GDP, maintains strong public research funding and targeted sectoral initiatives. Public investment in research is not only high but **strategically integrated** with national priorities such as sustainability, digitalisation, and regional cohesion.

# **High-Performing Institutions and Systems**

Nordic universities and research institutes combine high academic standards with strong institutional autonomy and civic responsibility. The systems are characterised by:

- Transparent governance and efficient allocation of public funds.
- Extensive international cooperation, especially within the EU framework.
- Strong links between basic and applied research, often facilitated by agencies like Vinnova (Sweden), Business Finland, the Research Council of Norway, and Innovation Fund Denmark.

  All four countries exhibit balanced research portfolios, with strong performance in life sciences, engineering, digital technologies, environmental science, and health innovation.

### Regional Innovation and Knowledge Diffusion

A defining feature of the Nordic research model is its **regional inclusiveness**. Academic institutions serve as anchor points in local ecosystems, driving innovation not only in metropolitan centres but also in smaller cities and peripheral regions. Through mechanisms like Sweden's Strategic Innovation Programmes, Finland's Ecosystem Agreements, Norway's applied research institutes, and Denmark's industry-linked clusters, the Nordics have successfully embedded research into **place-based economic strategies**.

This decentralised model has helped generate smart specialisation, regional resilience, and high levels of trust between academia, government, and industry—hallmarks of the so-called "Nordic advantage."

# **Global Comparison and Strategic Position**

When measured against other global leaders such as Germany, the US, China, and South Korea, the Nordic countries stand out for their **systemic efficiency**, **open collaboration**, and **policy coherence**. While they do not match the scale of larger economies in terms of absolute R&D expenditure, they excel in:

- Scientific output per capita.
- Citation impact.
- International co-publications.
- Innovation system integration.

Their reputation as **innovation leaders** is reinforced by strong performances in the European Innovation Scoreboard and Global Innovation Index.

# **Emerging Challenges and Future Directions**

Despite their strengths, the Nordic research systems face several strategic challenges:

- Ageing demographics and talent retention pressures.
- Fragmentation across national systems that limit potential Nordic synergies.
- The need to balance academic excellence with societal relevance.
- Rapid technological change requiring stronger digital sovereignty.
- Questions about long-term funding sustainability and the role of philanthropy.

Yet these challenges are matched by opportunities. The Nordics are well-positioned to lead in green transition technologies, human-centred AI, precision health, Arctic research, and open science. Their shared values—trust, transparency, inclusiveness—give them a strong foundation for navigating the research agendas of the 21st century.

#### Conclusion

The Nordic countries offer a **distinctive model** of how small nations can achieve **global leadership in science and innovation** without sacrificing equity or cohesion. Through stable public investment, strategic autonomy for institutions, and strong regional engagement, Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark have created research ecosystems that are agile, inclusive, and globally respected. Their experience provides not only a benchmark for high-performing knowledge economies but also inspiration for designing future-ready research systems elsewhere in Europe and beyond.

# **Chapter 1: Introduction**

The Nordic countries—Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark—are widely recognized for their strong commitment to knowledge, innovation, and public welfare. These nations consistently rank among the world's top performers in research output, innovation systems, and science-based competitiveness. They combine high public investment in research and development (R&D) with robust education systems, open societies, and strong institutional trust. Yet beneath these commonalities lies a diversity of strategic approaches, institutional frameworks, and industrial profiles that shape how each country organizes and funds its research systems.

In global terms, the Nordic model stands out for its ability to link academic excellence with societal impact. Nordic governments have long positioned research not only as a vehicle for economic competitiveness, but as a tool for social cohesion, environmental sustainability, and democratic accountability. This has translated into stable, long-term investment in higher education and science policy—even in times of fiscal restraint. Moreover, Nordic countries have developed strong traditions of cooperation, both among themselves and with the European Union and international partners, leveraging their relatively small scale into disproportionate influence in global research networks.

This report provides a comparative analysis of the R&D systems of Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark. While all four nations exceed or approach the OECD average in R&D intensity and maintain diversified research portfolios, their **funding models**, **policy priorities**, **university structures**, and **private sector engagement** vary significantly. The report examines these dimensions in detail, with particular attention to:

- Public and private R&D investment strategies
- Governance and funding agencies
- The role of universities and research institutes
- Industry collaboration and innovation ecosystems
- Regional research strengths and cluster dynamics
- Participation in EU and global research programs

As in the previous report on continental Europe, this analysis will also explore how academic research in the Nordics contributes to regional innovation, economic development, and strategic policy goals such as climate neutrality and digital transformation.

Ultimately, this study aims to understand how the Nordic countries—despite their small population size—have built and sustained **globally competitive research systems**, and what lessons their experiences may offer for other knowledge-based economies.

Chapter 2: The Strategic Role of Public R&D Funding in the Nordics

In the Nordic countries, public investment in research and development (R&D) is viewed not merely as a support mechanism for science, but as a foundational element of national strategy. It is closely tied to broader goals such as economic competitiveness, sustainable development, public sector innovation, and societal wellbeing. While each country pursues its own national policies, the Nordic region as a whole is united by a belief in the **state as an active enabler of knowledge creation**, and by a long-standing tradition of integrating research policy with social and industrial priorities.

### 2.1 A Shared Commitment to Public Investment

All four Nordic countries allocate substantial public funds to R&D. Sweden and Finland have historically led in **R&D** intensity (public + private R&D expenditure as a percentage of GDP), often exceeding 3%, while Denmark and Norway have steadily increased their investment in recent years. Public investment plays a particularly crucial role in smaller markets like Finland and Norway, where the private sector's R&D footprint is more concentrated.

According to the latest **Eurostat and OECD** data (2024), **gross public** R&D expenditure (GBAORD) relative to GDP is approximately:

Sweden: 1.0%
Finland: 0.9%
Denmark: 0.85%
Norway: 0.85%

These figures place the Nordic region well above the EU average and reflect a consensus that **government funding is critical** for maintaining scientific capacity, supporting long-term research, and addressing market failures in innovation.

### 2.2 Multi-Channel Funding Systems

While their governance structures differ, all four Nordic countries follow a **dual-channel model** of public funding:

1. **Institutional (core) funding** to universities and public research organisations, enabling

autonomy and long-term planning.

- 2. **Competitive project-based funding**, distributed through national research councils and innovation agencies.
- Sweden relies on agencies such as Vetenskapsrådet (Swedish Research Council) and Vinnova, which fund basic and applied research respectively.
- Finland's system is coordinated through Business Finland (innovation) and The Research Council of Finland (former Academy of Finland).
- Denmark uses Independent Research Fund Denmark (DFF) and Innovation Fund Denmark (IFD) as its main channels.
- Norway coordinates public R&D primarily through the Research Council of Norway (RCN).

These agencies operate under different ministries but work closely with universities, industry, and government departments to implement thematic programs in priority areas like AI, energy, health, and sustainability.

# 2.3 Strategic Missions and Policy Coherence

Nordic research policy is strongly aligned with mission-driven innovation, often structured around cross-cutting societal challenges. National strategies such as Sweden's Research and Innovation Bill, Finland's RDI Roadmap, Denmark's Green Research Strategy, and Norway's Long-Term Plan for Research and Higher Education explicitly connect public R&D investment to objectives including:

- Climate neutrality and green transition
- Digital transformation and Al
- Health innovation and ageing societies
- Industrial renewal and export competitiveness

In all four countries, these missions are coordinated across ministries and agencies, reinforcing coherence between **research funding**, **industrial policy**, **and education reform**.

# 2.4 Integration with European and International Frameworks

The Nordic countries are active participants in **EU research programs**, especially Horizon Europe. Although not all are EU members (notably Norway), each engages deeply with **the European Research Area (ERA)** and is involved in joint programming initiatives, cross-border infrastructures, and European partnerships.

Furthermore, Nordic research strategies are increasingly linked to global frameworks such as the **UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**. For example, Finland and Denmark both anchor their national R&D strategies in SDG implementation, and Sweden has explicitly prioritized Agenda 2030 as a guiding framework for publicly funded research.

# 2.5 Stability, Trust, and Institutional Culture

A defining feature of the Nordic approach is the **long-term stability and societal trust** that underpins public research funding. Research councils operate at arm's length from government, maintaining academic independence while staying aligned with national priorities. This trust-based governance encourages innovation in funding instruments (e.g. challenge-based calls, innovation vouchers) and supports sustained collaboration between public and private actors.

Moreover, Nordic countries generally avoid abrupt shifts in funding allocation, favouring predictable multi-year frameworks that allow institutions to plan and recruit with confidence. This is particularly important in global talent attraction, where long-term stability can be a significant competitive advantage.

In sum, the Nordic model of public R&D funding is characterised by strategic investment, institutional autonomy, and integration with national and international missions. As the next chapters will show, the implementation of this model varies across countries—but its underlying principles remain consistent: science is a public good, and research policy is inseparable from national development strategy.

# **Chapter 3: National Profiles**

### 3.1 Sweden: A Research-Intensive Knowledge Economy

Sweden consistently ranks among the world's most research-intensive economies. With R&D expenditure exceeding 3.5% of GDP, it places itself not only well above the EU average but also alongside global innovation leaders such as South Korea, Israel, and the United States. Sweden's science and innovation system is marked by its strong academic base, vibrant private sector engagement, and long-standing political consensus around the strategic value of research.

# **Governance and Funding Landscape**

Sweden's research system is governed by a mix of **institutional autonomy** and **strategic state steering**. The Swedish government sets national research policy through its **four-year Research and Innovation Bills**, the most recent of which (2020–2024) emphasized climate-neutral technologies, digitalisation, and health innovation. These bills are developed through broad

stakeholder consultation and guide public R&D priorities and funding allocations.

The largest sources of public R&D funding are:

- Vetenskapsrådet (Swedish Research Council): the main funding body for basic research, across disciplines.
- Vinnova: Sweden's innovation agency, supporting applied research, commercialisation, and collaborative projects.
- Forte and Formas: focused on social sciences, public health, environment, and sustainable development.

Universities receive **block grants** from the Ministry of Education and Research (via the Swedish Higher Education Authority), which they are free to allocate internally. However, competitive funding through the agencies above has become increasingly central to institutional strategies and researcher careers.

In 2024, **total public spending on R&D in Sweden** was approximately **SEK 49 billion**, with **SEK 32.4 billion** directed toward higher education institutions. Private sector R&D spending—led by major firms in telecommunications, pharmaceuticals, and industrial engineering—accounts for over 60% of total R&D expenditure.

# **Academic Institutions and Research Capacity**

Sweden's universities operate with a high degree of autonomy. The major research universities—Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm University, Uppsala University, Lund University, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, and Chalmers University of Technology—are globally competitive, particularly in life sciences, engineering, and environmental studies. These institutions benefit from strong links to regional innovation ecosystems and from the historical importance of academic research in national development.

Karolinska Institutet plays a unique role in global health research, and is internationally known for its role in awarding the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine. Meanwhile, industrial cooperation is particularly strong at KTH, Chalmers, and Linköping University, often supported through strategic innovation programmes co-financed by Vinnova and the private sector.

### **Industry Linkages and Innovation Culture**

Sweden's research system is deeply intertwined with industrial R&D. Flagship firms such as **Ericsson**, **AstraZeneca**, **Volvo**, and **ABB** invest heavily in in-house research and collaborate with universities through joint projects, doctoral programmes, and shared research facilities. This partnership model is reinforced by public initiatives such as **RISE** (**Research Institutes of Sweden**), which bridges applied research and industrial development, particularly for small and

medium-sized enterprises.

Innovation policy also supports regional ecosystems. Notable clusters include:

- Medicon Valley (Skåne-Copenhagen): a cross-border life sciences hub.
- Stockholm-Uppsala: strong in digital health, AI, and fintech.
- Gothenburg: automotive innovation and green transport.
- Lulea: sustainable energy and data centre infrastructure.

The combination of high trust, open knowledge exchange, and state-industry co-financing has enabled Sweden to build a **balanced research economy**, where both basic science and market-oriented innovation can flourish.

# Strategic Priorities and Global Integration

Sweden's research strategy is increasingly aligned with both European and global challenges. The country is an active participant in **Horizon Europe**, often ranking among the top recipients of funding per capita. Sweden also plays a key role in Nordic research cooperation through **NordForsk** and the **Nordic Council of Ministers**.

Recent policy priorities include:

- · Achieving net-zero emissions through green innovation.
- Strengthening cybersecurity and quantum technology.
- Promoting gender equality and research career sustainability.
- Enhancing technology transfer and intellectual property management.

The government has also introduced measures to support **international recruitment**, simplify visa processes for researchers, and invest in **open science infrastructure**, underscoring Sweden's ambition to remain a **globally connected research nation**.

### 3.2 Finland: Research for National Resilience and Digital Transformation

Finland's research and innovation system is characterised by its strong public investment, commitment to high-quality education, and strategic focus on technological resilience. While smaller in scale than some of its Nordic peers, Finland has cultivated a deeply integrated ecosystem where government, academia, and industry collaborate to drive sustainable economic development and global competitiveness. Historically rooted in post-industrial transformation, Finnish R&D policy now centres on digitalisation, green technologies, and societal preparedness.

# **Governance and Strategic Direction**

Finland's research governance is centrally coordinated by the **Prime Minister's Office**, in close cooperation with the **Ministry of Education and Culture**, the **Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment**, and the **Research and Innovation Council**—a high-level advisory body chaired by the Prime Minister. This reflects Finland's belief that research policy must be integral to national strategy.

The Finnish government has committed to raising R&D investment to 4% of GDP by 2030, a goal embedded in the National RDI Roadmap (2020–2030). In 2025, public R&D expenditure stands at approximately €2.86 billion, with nearly half allocated to higher education institutions. The remainder is distributed through competitive programmes, innovation grants, and mission-oriented public-private partnerships.

Two major agencies manage public research funding:

- The Research Council of Finland (formerly the Academy of Finland), which funds investigatordriven basic research across disciplines, and
- Business Finland, which supports applied research, innovation, and export-oriented development in firms and research organisations.

This dual-agency system ensures a clear division of labour between scientific excellence and commercial innovation, while encouraging cross-sectoral integration.

#### **Academic Excellence and Thematic Focus**

Finland's university system is compact but of high quality. Its flagship institutions—University of Helsinki, Aalto University, University of Turku, Tampere University, and University of Oulu—are globally competitive in areas such as materials science, education research, information security, and climate resilience. Aalto University, formed through a merger of technical, business, and design schools, has become a pioneer in multidisciplinary innovation and entrepreneurship.

In parallel, Finland supports a strong network of **Universities of Applied Sciences (UAS)**, which collaborate closely with regional industries and public sector actors to deliver practice-based research and skills development. These institutions play a critical role in Finland's innovation ecosystem, particularly outside the capital region.

Strategic research programmes are aligned with national missions and EU priorities, including:

- Digital sovereignty, especially in quantum computing and secure communications.
- Green transition, including circular economy and energy systems.
- Resilience and preparedness, such as health technologies and food security.
- Al and data economy, through public initiatives like the Al Finland programme.

These themes are reinforced by Finland's robust public consultation culture, in which policy priorities are shaped through national foresight exercises and stakeholder input.

# **Industry Engagement and Research-Driven Export Strategy**

The private sector accounts for more than 60% of Finland's total R&D expenditure, with key contributions from firms in ICT (e.g. Nokia, Elisa), forestry and bioeconomy (e.g. UPM, Stora Enso), machinery and clean energy (e.g. Wärtsilä, Valmet), and health technology.

Public-private cooperation is facilitated through **co-innovation platforms**, such as the **Co-Research and Co-Creation funding schemes** by Business Finland. These support joint projects between firms and academia in high-potential areas, often with clear export goals. Finland's innovation system places great emphasis on scalability and internationalisation—core to the country's strategy as a small, export-dependent economy.

In recent years, Finland has also made progress in **start-up incubation and venture capital mobilisation**, with Helsinki frequently cited as one of Europe's leading innovation cities. The **Slush conference** has emerged as a key platform for connecting research-driven entrepreneurs with global investors.

# Integration with Europe and the Global Research Arena

Finland is a strong performer in **EU Framework Programmes**, especially Horizon Europe, where it frequently punches above its weight in health, ICT, and climate-related calls. It is also an active member of **NordForsk** and **Science Europe**, and has formal strategic research partnerships with countries including Germany, the United States, Japan, and South Korea.

Additionally, Finland is at the forefront of **open science and data policy**, with national strategies mandating FAIR data principles, open access publishing, and shared digital research infrastructures.

Finland's research system illustrates how a small, highly educated society can leverage strategic investment, inclusive policymaking, and institutional cooperation to produce scientific and economic value. With an explicit national goal of becoming a **resilient**, **innovation-based welfare society**, Finland is positioning its research policy as a pillar of long-term sustainability and global relevance.

## 3.3 Norway: Balancing Knowledge Creation with Resource Stewardship

Norway's research and innovation system is distinctive within the Nordic region for its close ties to natural resource management and its dual commitment to basic research and applied knowledge for economic diversification. As a high-income country with a relatively small population, Norway has used its oil and gas wealth not only to build sovereign financial reserves but also to invest in science, technology, and societal development. Research policy in Norway is thus increasingly shaped by the twin imperatives of **sustainability** and **post-petroleum transformation**.

# **Governance and Long-Term Planning**

Norway's research governance is coordinated by the Ministry of Education and Research, in cooperation with other line ministries, particularly the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries, and the Ministry of Climate and Environment. The central policy framework is the Long-Term Plan for Research and Higher Education (2023–2032), which sets out a 10-year investment strategy, regularly updated in response to global trends and domestic priorities.

Public R&D spending in Norway reached approximately **NOK 16 billion** in 2024 (roughly €1.5 billion), with a focus on thematic areas such as energy, oceans, health, and digitalisation. While this represents around 1.1% of GDP, which is lower than in Sweden or Finland, Norway complements this with strong participation in European funding schemes and investments in national centres of excellence.

### **Key Funding Institutions and Instruments**

The main public funding body is the **Research Council of Norway (RCN)**, which allocates competitive funding across sectors and disciplines. The RCN plays a hybrid role as a **strategic adviser**, **programme manager**, **and evaluator**, and it operates with a high degree of autonomy from political interference.

Complementary innovation funding is provided by **Innovation Norway**, which supports start-ups, SMEs, and export-oriented applied research, and **Siva**, which manages industrial research parks and incubators. In recent years, public agencies have collaborated to strengthen the **commercialisation of research**, including support for tech transfer offices and proof-of-concept funds.

Norway also maintains several long-term funding programmes targeting:

- Green transition and low-emission technologies.
- Ocean-based innovation, including aquaculture and marine engineering.
- Digital transformation, including AI, cyber-physical systems, and trustworthy data use.

• Health and care innovation, often in partnership with regional hospitals and municipalities.

#### **Universities and Research Institutes**

Norway's university system includes major institutions such as **University of Oslo**, **Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)**, **University of Bergen**, and **UiT The Arctic University of Norway**. These institutions benefit from a high degree of academic freedom and stable public funding.

What sets Norway apart is its extensive network of **independent research institutes**, such as **SINTEF**, **NILU**, and **NIBIO**, which carry out contract research, often in direct collaboration with industry or government. These institutes receive core public funding but operate largely in a project-based environment, making them highly agile in responding to strategic demands.

This dual system—of universities and mission-oriented research institutes—enables Norway to maintain both academic excellence and strong application-driven capacity, particularly in areas aligned with natural resource use and environmental stewardship.

## Regional and Industrial Linkages

Norway's innovation ecosystem is geographically distributed, with significant research activities located in **Trondheim**, **Bergen**, **Stavanger**, and **Tromsø**. NTNU in Trondheim is particularly renowned for its partnerships with industry, especially in energy, maritime, and robotics sectors, in cooperation with SINTEF.

The **petroleum sector** remains a major contributor to private R&D, but efforts are underway to diversify into **clean energy**, **offshore wind**, **CCS** (**carbon capture and storage**), and **blue bioeconomy**. This aligns with the broader strategic ambition to transition from a fossil-based economy to one centred on innovation and sustainability.

# **European and International Engagement**

Although Norway is not a member of the EU, it participates fully in **Horizon Europe** and the **European Research Area** via the European Economic Area (EEA) agreement. Norwegian institutions are frequent coordinators and partners in EU-funded research, particularly in energy, environment, and polar research.

Norway also places high value on **Arctic research and international science diplomacy**, using its geographic position and polar infrastructure to contribute to global climate knowledge. Its cooperation with the **Nordic Council**, **Arctic Council**, and multilateral research platforms ensures international visibility and relevance.

Norway's research system illustrates how a resource-rich country can align science policy with long-term sustainability goals. By leveraging institutional diversity, mission-oriented funding, and European partnerships, Norway seeks to become a knowledge-based economy that remains globally competitive while addressing some of the world's most pressing environmental challenges.

## 3.4 Denmark: Research as a Driver of Green Growth and Global Integration

Denmark occupies a distinctive position within the Nordic research landscape: a small, open economy that combines high R&D intensity with strong internationalisation and deep industrial collaboration. Danish research policy is marked by pragmatic coordination between public and private sectors, targeted support for innovation in key export industries, and a strategic orientation towards climate solutions, health technologies, and digitalisation. Its compact research system is globally connected, flexible, and built around the principle of knowledge for societal benefit.

# Policy Framework and Funding Architecture

The governance of Denmark's research and innovation system is overseen by the Ministry of Higher Education and Science, which sets national priorities and allocates core public funding. The current strategic framework is articulated in the Research and Innovation Strategy 2025, which outlines key policy themes including the green transition, life sciences, quantum technology, and inclusive digitalisation. Denmark's national ambition is to rank among the global top five in innovation by 2030, supported by substantial public and private R&D investment.

In 2024, Denmark's gross domestic expenditure on R&D (GERD) was approximately **DKK 75** billion (≈€10 billion), representing around **3% of GDP**, of which public spending accounted for roughly **DKK 27 billion**. The remainder is financed by the private sector, with major contributions from life sciences, pharmaceuticals, energy, and food industries.

Public research funding flows through two primary channels:

- Institutional block grants to universities and research institutions, ensuring baseline support for research infrastructure and autonomy.
- Competitive project-based funding, allocated by:
  - Independent Research Fund Denmark (DFF), which supports investigator-led basic research across all disciplines.

• Innovation Fund Denmark (IFD), which funds applied research, public-private partnerships, and technology demonstration.

These mechanisms are embedded in a **coherent policy ecosystem**, linking research, higher education, innovation policy, and national growth strategies.

# Academic Strength and Institutional Landscape

Denmark's universities rank highly in terms of international impact and research productivity. The country's eight universities—led by **University of Copenhagen**, **Aarhus University**, **Technical University of Denmark (DTU)**, and **University of Southern Denmark (SDU)**—are globally recognised, particularly in areas such as environmental science, clean energy, biotechnology, and medical research.

A notable feature of Denmark's research system is its commitment to **interdisciplinarity and translational science**, encouraged by university governance reforms and strategic use of funding incentives. University hospitals and medical faculties are tightly integrated, enabling Denmark to become a global leader in biomedical research, genomics, and public health innovation.

In parallel, **university-industry cooperation** is systematically promoted through "knowledge bridges," including shared labs, joint PhD schemes, and innovation hubs. DTU, for example, has formalised strategic partnerships with firms in wind power, robotics, and materials science, reinforcing Denmark's profile in climate technology and engineering.

#### Industrial Research and Green Innovation

The Danish private sector accounts for a disproportionately large share of R&D investment, relative to the country's size. Leading firms such as Novo Nordisk, Vestas, Grundfos, and Chr. Hansen maintain extensive in-house research programmes and collaborate closely with academia. This collaboration is particularly strong in:

- Life sciences and pharmaceuticals: A pillar of the Danish economy, centred around Medicon Valley and supported by both national and regional R&D programmes.
- Green energy and cleantech: Denmark has built a world-leading wind energy sector and actively promotes research in renewable energy integration, sustainable construction, and carbon-neutral mobility.
- Digital and quantum technologies: The Danish government has identified quantum research and secure AI as national strategic priorities, with targeted investments in basic research and private-sector scale-up.

These strengths are coordinated through the Green Research Strategy, introduced in 2021,

which aligns public research investments with Denmark's goal of becoming **climate neutral by 2045**.

# **International Collaboration and European Integration**

Denmark is one of the strongest performers in **EU research programmes**, especially Horizon Europe, where it frequently ranks among the top recipients per capita. Danish institutions are active in cross-border partnerships, European research infrastructures, and Joint Programming Initiatives.

Denmark also leads in **open science and responsible research practices**, with robust national mandates on data sharing, reproducibility, and research integrity. The Danish National Research Foundation supports long-term centres of excellence with high-risk/high-reward funding, enhancing global competitiveness in frontier science.

Furthermore, Denmark's **Nordic and transatlantic partnerships**—notably with Germany, the UK, and the United States—are expanding, particularly in climate policy, Arctic science, and digital governance.

Denmark's research model reflects a **strategic synthesis of public purpose and private capacity**. By aligning its innovation system with green growth, industrial strengths, and societal needs, Denmark demonstrates how a small country can achieve global scientific relevance while maintaining inclusivity, sustainability, and economic resilience.

# Chapter 4: The Role of Academic Research in Regional Innovation and Growth

In the Nordic countries, academic research institutions have evolved beyond their traditional roles as centres of knowledge production to become **catalysts of regional innovation and economic transformation**. Universities and research institutes are not only hubs of scientific excellence but also active agents in driving entrepreneurship, industrial renewal, and regional development. This chapter examines how higher education and research contribute to local innovation ecosystems across Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark—focusing on their institutional missions, structural linkages, and measurable socio-economic impact.

### 4.1 Universities as Anchors of Regional Economies

Nordic universities enjoy high levels of autonomy, but they operate within national frameworks

that increasingly expect them to contribute to **innovation**, **regional competitiveness**, **and inclusive growth**. As knowledge institutions, they play four interconnected roles:

- Educators of high-skilled labour, particularly in STEM fields.
- Producers of basic and applied research.
- Partners in innovation ecosystems, co-creating with industry and the public sector.
- Engines of place-based development, particularly outside capital regions.

These roles are amplified by policy instruments—such as strategic innovation programmes, cofunding schemes, and university-driven technology parks—that aim to diffuse scientific knowledge into regional economies.

### 4.2 Sweden: From Research Clusters to Global Platforms

In Sweden, major universities such as KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Chalmers University of Technology, and Uppsala University have long-established relationships with industry and local government. These institutions anchor regional ecosystems that specialise in sectors such as:

- Automotive and green mobility (Gothenburg).
- Digital innovation and health tech (Stockholm-Uppsala).
- Smart materials and process industries (Linköping and Luleå).

The Strategic Innovation Programmes (SIPs)—co-funded by Vinnova, industry, and academia—have reinforced regional specialisation, while initiatives like RISE Research Institutes of Sweden and Science Parks (e.g. Lindholmen, Ideon, SICS) facilitate applied research, start-ups, and public-private co-creation.

# 4.3 Finland: Regional Universities and Resilient Ecosystems

Finland has invested heavily in decentralising knowledge institutions, resulting in **strong regional universities** in Turku, Oulu, Tampere, and Jyväskylä. These institutions play a pivotal role in strengthening **regional innovation capacity**, often in collaboration with **Universities of Applied Sciences** and municipal authorities.

- Oulu has emerged as a national leader in 6G and wireless communications research.
- Turku combines life sciences research with marine innovation.
- Tampere is known for industrial engineering and health technologies.

Finland's innovation policy explicitly aims to "regionalise excellence", and tools such as the **Ecosystem Agreements** (2021–2027) provide co-financing for thematic clusters based on local strengths. This decentralised approach ensures that research-driven growth is not confined to Helsinki, but distributed throughout the country.

# 4.4 Norway: Sectoral Institutes and Peripheral Engagement

Norway's geographically dispersed population has led to a research system with **strong regional infrastructure**, often built around **research institutes** such as:

- NORCE in southern and western Norway (energy, climate).
- Nofima in Tromsø (marine and food research).
- **SINTEF** in Trondheim (engineering and digital innovation).

These institutes, working alongside regional universities such as **UiT The Arctic University** and **Nord University**, help embed scientific knowledge in peripheral regions. Norway also maintains **Innovation Clusters** (e.g. NCE Seafood Innovation, GCE NODE) that link research, SMEs, and public authorities in targeted sectors like aquaculture, maritime technology, and offshore energy.

The Long-Term Plan for Research and Higher Education includes explicit goals for strengthening regional knowledge infrastructure and linking R&D to local job creation, particularly in the context of green transition.

# 4.5 Denmark: Integrated Ecosystems and Urban Innovation

Denmark's compact geography and strong city-regions enable the formation of highly integrated innovation ecosystems, particularly in:

- Medicon Valley (Greater Copenhagen): a life sciences and pharma cluster, involving University of Copenhagen, DTU, and industry leaders such as Novo Nordisk and Lundbeck.
- Central Jutland (Aarhus region): combining food innovation, agro-tech, and clean energy.
- Odense: an emerging European hub for robotics and automation, linked to SDU.

Danish universities play a strategic role in regional planning and innovation strategies, often through partnerships with municipalities and regional growth forums. The Innovation Fund Denmark and Danish Growth Fund support start-up ecosystems, university spinouts, and applied R&D centres. Institutions are incentivised to measure and report on their "third mission" activities—economic and societal impact—alongside education and research.

The Nordic model of academic research is thus deeply embedded in **regional economic and innovation strategies**. While institutional excellence remains central, policy in all four countries increasingly recognises that long-term prosperity and resilience depend on the capacity of universities and research institutions to act as **civic anchors**, **entrepreneurial hubs**, **and drivers of smart specialisation**.

# **Chapter 5: Europe in Global Comparison**

In an increasingly knowledge-driven global economy, the Nordic countries represent a highly advanced segment of the European research landscape. However, to understand their position and performance fully, it is essential to place them within a broader **global comparative framework**. This chapter examines how Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark measure up against other major research nations—particularly Germany, France, the Netherlands, the United States, China, Japan, and South Korea—across key indicators of R&D investment, scientific output, innovation capacity, and systemic efficiency.

# **5.1 R&D Investment Intensity**

The Nordic countries are among the global leaders in **gross domestic expenditure on R&D** (GERD) as a share of GDP:

- Sweden consistently exceeds 3.5% of GDP in R&D investment—on par with South Korea and Israel, and ahead of Germany and the United States.
- Finland invests approximately 3.0%, with a national goal to raise this to 4.0% by 2030, placing it in the top global tier.
- **Denmark** maintains **3.0**%, with strong private sector participation.
- Norway, at approximately 1.1%, falls below the OECD average, though this reflects its resource-based economy and is partially offset by participation in EU programmes.

In absolute terms, these figures are modest compared to larger economies such as the United States or China, but **on a per capita basis**, the Nordic countries remain among the **most R&D-intensive societies globally**.

## 5.2 Scientific Output and Citation Impact

Nordic countries punch above their weight in terms of scientific publications per capita, citation impact, and collaborative output:

- Sweden and Denmark consistently rank among the highest in Field-Weighted Citation Impact (FWCI) within Europe, particularly in life sciences, engineering, and environmental research.
- **Finland** excels in education, ICT, and materials science, while maintaining high international co-publication rates.
- Norwegian research shows particular strength in marine science, climate change, and Arctic studies—fields of strategic geopolitical relevance.

Compared to the **United States** or **China**, the volume of publications is lower, but the **relative quality and internationalisation** of Nordic research output is exceptionally high.

## 5.3 Innovation Ecosystem Performance

Nordic countries perform strongly in the European Innovation Scoreboard (EIS) and Global Innovation Index (GII):

- Sweden has ranked #1 in the EU for innovation performance for multiple years, due to its strong linkages between academia, industry, and policy.
- **Denmark** and **Finland** are consistently labelled "Innovation Leaders" in both indexes, excelling in human capital, intellectual assets, and environmental sustainability.
- Norway, although lagging slightly in business R&D intensity, has improved its position through increased strategic investment and international collaboration.

In global comparison, the **United States** leads in venture capital, disruptive technologies, and entrepreneurial dynamism, while **China** excels in scaling infrastructure and patent filings. The **Nordic advantage**, however, lies in a **balanced model**: one that combines cutting-edge research, social equity, environmental awareness, and policy coordination.

## 5.4 EU and Global Research Programme Participation

All four Nordic countries are deeply embedded in **European research frameworks**:

- Sweden, Denmark, and Finland are among the **top performers per capita** in securing **Horizon Europe** funding.
- Norway, through its EEA membership, participates fully and often exceeds expectations in thematic areas such as energy, climate, and digitalisation.
- Nordic countries are frequent leaders in ERA-NETs, Joint Programming Initiatives, and NordForsk-supported transnational projects.

Beyond Europe, the Nordic countries maintain robust bilateral science agreements and are key members of global research organisations (e.g. OECD, UNESCO, Arctic Council, IPCC). They have also adopted **open science policies** aligned with global FAIR data principles and have committed to carbon-neutral research systems by the 2040s.

# 5.5 Systemic Efficiency and Policy Coherence

What sets the Nordic research systems apart is not only their funding levels or output quality but their **systemic efficiency and integration**:

- Policy coordination across ministries and agencies ensures alignment between education, innovation, climate, and industrial strategies.
- Institutional autonomy allows universities to develop long-term visions while remaining publicly accountable.
- Transparent funding mechanisms, open competitive calls, and performance-based

allocations ensure efficient use of public funds.

In contrast, larger systems—such as those in France, Italy, or the UK—often struggle with fragmentation, underinvestment, or policy volatility. Even high-performing systems like Germany can exhibit rigidities due to federal structures and institutional path dependencies.

In summary, while Nordic countries do not match the absolute scale of R&D powerhouses like the United States or China, they represent a **globally respected model of effective, sustainable, and inclusive research governance**. Their commitment to international collaboration, societal relevance, and balanced innovation ecosystems places them at the forefront of the knowledge economies of the 21st century.

# **Chapter 6: Challenges and Opportunities for the Future**

Despite their reputations as innovation leaders, the Nordic countries face a complex set of structural, economic, and geopolitical challenges that will shape the future direction of their research and innovation systems. Sustaining excellence while broadening societal impact, navigating global uncertainties, and adapting to rapid technological change will require renewed strategic focus. This chapter explores the most pressing issues confronting Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark, as well as the emerging opportunities for transformative growth and collaboration.

### **6.1 Demographic Pressures and Talent Retention**

One of the most significant long-term challenges for all Nordic countries is **demographic ageing**. Shrinking working-age populations threaten the sustainability of public research funding, while increased demand for health and social care strains fiscal resources. At the same time, universities and research institutes face growing difficulty in attracting and retaining top global talent due to:

- Stiff competition from larger economies with more concentrated research infrastructure.
- Language barriers, particularly in professional integration outside major cities.
- Limited scale of domestic career ladders and tenure-track positions.

Finland and Norway have introduced policies to strengthen **international doctoral recruitment**, while Sweden and Denmark focus on **researcher mobility schemes**, but maintaining a competitive edge in the global talent race remains a persistent concern.

## 6.2 Fragmentation and Duplication of Efforts

Although the Nordic countries share many values and structures, their research systems are **nationally driven**, with limited coordination in strategic investments, infrastructures, and large-scale programmes. This leads to:

- **Duplication** of efforts in areas like green technology, digitalisation, and Arctic research.
- Underutilisation of opportunities for joint Nordic research infrastructures, large-scale labs, and shared digital platforms.
- Missed chances for policy alignment that could amplify collective visibility and EU leverage.

There are promising platforms for joint programming (e.g., NordForsk, Nordic University Cooperation, Nordic Five Tech), but greater ambition is needed to create a cohesive Nordic Research Area that can compete with continental hubs.

# 6.3 Balancing Excellence with Societal Relevance

A growing tension in all four countries lies between **global research excellence**—often assessed through citations and ERC-style grants—and the **domestic demand for relevance and impact** in areas such as:

- Climate resilience.
- Regional development.
- Health and wellbeing.
- Green industrial transition.

While policy frameworks increasingly require research institutions to demonstrate societal value, many fear that this emphasis may **dilute academic freedom**, especially in basic research. The challenge is to **bridge the gap** between frontier science and national missions without compromising long-term exploratory research.

### 6.4 Digital Transformation and Technology Sovereignty

The rapid advance of AI, quantum computing, and digital platforms poses both risks and opportunities. Nordic countries are well-positioned due to their:

- High digital literacy.
- Strong public digital infrastructures.
- Investments in cybersecurity, AI ethics, and trustworthy tech.

However, they remain **dependent on external platforms and global tech firms**. There is increasing pressure—both from national governments and the EU—to strengthen **digital sovereignty**, particularly in sensitive areas such as health data, defence technologies, and critical infrastructure.

Joint Nordic investments in quantum research, secure communications, and sovereign data spaces could provide both strategic advantage and a foundation for global partnerships rooted in democratic values.

# 6.5 Funding Sustainability and Strategic Alignment

While public support for R&D remains high, there is growing scrutiny over the **effectiveness and distribution** of research funding. Emerging debates include:

- How to balance block grants with performance-based and competitive funding.
- Whether public-private partnerships risk distorting research agendas.
- The role of **philanthropic foundations** (e.g., the Wallenberg Foundations in Sweden) in shaping national research priorities.

Moreover, the complexity of research funding instruments—across ministries, agencies, and EU programmes—can overwhelm smaller institutions and limit innovation outside established hubs. Streamlining governance and aligning long-term strategies will be essential to avoid **mission fatigue** and ensure coherence.

# **Opportunities**

Despite these challenges, the Nordic countries are uniquely positioned to lead in several global frontiers:

- Green transition leadership: With strong track records in climate research, energy innovation, and environmental policy, the Nordics can pioneer next-generation solutions and influence EU Green Deal implementation.
- **Human-centred AI and ethics**: By combining technological sophistication with inclusive values, they can define global standards for trustworthy digital systems.
- **Health and bio-innovation**: High trust in public institutions, strong data protection laws, and advanced biomedical capacity make the region ideal for developing next-generation healthcare and genomics.
- Arctic research and sustainability: Especially relevant for Norway and Finland, the Nordic countries can lead in responsible stewardship of polar ecosystems and climate mitigation strategies.

The Nordic research model faces critical inflection points—but also enormous opportunity. With strategic collaboration, institutional adaptability, and renewed political commitment, the region

can remain a global benchmark for sustainable, inclusive, and mission-driven science.

# **Chapter 7: Academic Research and Regional Innovation Ecosystems**

Academic research has become an essential driver of regional development across the Nordic countries, shaping the transition from industrial to knowledge-based economies. As global competition intensifies and economic geographies shift, the capacity of regions to anchor innovation, retain talent, and attract investment is increasingly tied to the **strength of their academic institutions** and the **density of their knowledge ecosystems**. This chapter explores how Sweden, Finland, Norway, and Denmark have built world-class regional innovation systems centred on universities and research institutes—and the distinctive models each country has adopted to integrate science with place-based economic development.

# 7.1 The Evolving Role of Universities

The Nordic countries have gradually moved beyond the traditional Humboldtian model of the university—focused on pure knowledge and national enlightenment—towards institutions that are:

- Mission-driven: aligned with societal challenges and economic strategies.
- Entrepreneurial: promoting spinouts, start-ups, and tech transfer.
- Regionally embedded: working in tandem with municipalities, industries, and civil society.

Universities today are not just educating future workers or producing research—they are increasingly acting as **regional anchor institutions**, shaping the identity, aspirations, and comparative advantages of the territories in which they are located.

# 7.2 Sweden: Technological Leadership through Triple Helix Collaboration

Sweden's model is anchored in the **Triple Helix** concept—close collaboration between academia, industry, and government. Regions such as:

- Gothenburg (Chalmers, University of Gothenburg) are renowned for automotive innovation and sustainable mobility.
- Stockholm-Uppsala (KTH, Karolinska, Stockholm University, Uppsala University) lead in medtech, life sciences, and digital services.
- Linköping and Luleå host growing clusters in advanced materials, AI, and green process engineering.

Science parks (e.g. **Ideon in Lund**, **Lindholmen in Gothenburg**) and research institutes (e.g. **RISE**) play key roles in bridging applied research with commercial deployment. The Swedish

government promotes this model through **Strategic Innovation Programmes (SIPs)** and **regional growth initiatives**, often co-financed by **Vinnova** and regional authorities.

# 7.3 Finland: Distributed Excellence and Ecosystem Agreements

Finland has consciously invested in decentralising its innovation infrastructure, building highperforming regional ecosystems around universities in:

- Oulu: Wireless tech, AI, and 6G development.
- **Tampere**: Smart manufacturing and digital health.
- Turku: Marine tech and bioeconomy.
- Jyväskylä: Education, learning sciences, and sports innovation.

The **Ecosystem Agreements (Ekosysteemisopimukset)** launched in 2021 formalise collaboration between the Finnish state and city-regions to co-develop place-based innovation hubs. These agreements provide targeted funding, infrastructure, and policy alignment around regional specialisations.

Additionally, universities of applied sciences (ammattikorkeakoulut) complement research universities by offering vocationally oriented R&D, strengthening ties to SMEs and public services at the local level.

# 7.4 Norway: Research Institutes and Peripheral Engagement

Norway's innovation strategy prioritises **regional cohesion**, with a strong network of applied research institutes embedded outside major cities. Examples include:

- SINTEF in Trondheim, collaborating with NTNU on maritime, energy, and industrial R&D.
- NORCE in Bergen, Stavanger, and Kristiansand, supporting offshore energy, environmental science, and societal innovation.
- Nofima and NIBIO in Tromsø and Ås, focused on food systems and rural sustainability.

Norwegian universities such as **UiT The Arctic University**, **Nord University**, and **University of Agder** are instrumental in integrating **R&D into regional development**, particularly in fisheries, aquaculture, renewable energy, and social innovation.

Through programmes like **Regional Research Funds** and **Innovation Clusters (Arena, NCE, GCE)**, Norway ensures that innovation is not monopolised by Oslo, but **diffused across the national territory**, creating resilient and locally embedded knowledge economies.

### 7.5 Denmark: Urban Clusters and Research-Driven Exports

Denmark's regional innovation model is built around high-performing urban clusters, tightly

linked to export-oriented industries. Notable examples include:

- Medicon Valley (Copenhagen-Malmö): One of Europe's strongest life sciences hubs, integrating research hospitals, universities (KU, DTU), and pharmaceutical giants (Novo Nordisk, Lundbeck).
- Odense: A rapidly growing robotics cluster supported by the University of Southern Denmark (SDU) and a network of SMEs and applied institutes.
- Aarhus region: A centre of excellence in agri-food, clean energy, and digital innovation.

Universities work in partnership with municipalities, regional growth forums, and private foundations to co-finance infrastructure, innovation platforms, and interdisciplinary research hubs. Denmark's approach prioritises export competitiveness, making regional research ecosystems directly tied to the success of national industries.

\_\_\_\_

Together, the Nordic countries demonstrate that academic research is not confined to ivory towers—it is actively shaping regional identities, labour markets, and growth trajectories. By embedding universities and research institutes into smart specialisation strategies, and by enabling locally grounded yet globally connected ecosystems, the Nordic model offers a compelling case for how higher education can drive not just innovation, but territorial cohesion and sustainable prosperity.

#### \_\_\_\_

### References

- 1. European Commission (2024). *European Innovation Scoreboard 2024*. Brussels: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation.
- 2. Eurostat (2024). *Gross Domestic Expenditure on R&D (GERD) by Country and Sector.* Retrieved from: <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat">https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat</a>
- 3. OECD (2024). Main Science and Technology Indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- 4. Swedish Government (2024). *Budgetpropositionen för 2025 Utgiftsområde 16: Utbildning och forskning*. Stockholm: Regeringskansliet.
- 5. Vinnova (2024). Strategic Innovation Programmes and Regional Development. Stockholm: Vinnova.
- 6. Statistics Sweden (2024). Research and Development in Sweden: 2023 Results. Stockholm:

- 7. Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (2024). Research and Innovation Strategy for 2030. Helsinki: OKM Publications.
- 8. Statistics Finland (2023). Expenditure on R&D in Finland 2022. Helsinki: Tilastokeskus.
- 9. Business Finland (2024). *Ecosystem Agreements and Regional Innovation Platforms*. Helsinki: Business Finland.
- 10. Norwegian Ministry of Education and Research (2023). Long-term Plan for Research and Higher Education 2023–2032. Oslo: Regjeringen.
- 11. Statistics Norway (2024). R&D Statistics 2022. Oslo: SSB.
- 12. Norges forskningsråd (2024). *Regional Research Funds and Innovation Clusters*. Oslo: The Research Council of Norway.
- 13. Danish Ministry of Higher Education and Science (2024). *Forskningsbarometeret 2024*. Copenhagen: Uddannelses- og Forskningsministeriet.
- 14. Innovation Fund Denmark (2023). Annual Report 2023. Copenhagen: IFD.
- 15. Danish National Research Foundation (2023). *Centres of Excellence and Funding Principles*. Retrieved from: https://dg.dk
- 16. NordForsk (2024). Joint Nordic Research Programmes and Priorities. Oslo: NordForsk.
- 17. Global Innovation Index (2024). *World Intellectual Property Organization*. Retrieved from: <a href="https://www.globalinnovationindex.org">https://www.globalinnovationindex.org</a>
- 18. Science Europe (2023). *Values and Principles for Research Systems in Europe*. Brussels: Science Europe.
- 19. Karolinska Institutet (2023). Research Impact Report 2023. Stockholm: KI.
- 20. University of Copenhagen (2024). Strategic Plan 2023–2027. Copenhagen: UCPH.