

SAVING THE BRITISH ECONOMY FROM THE COVID-19 OUTBREAK

An open letter to Andrew Bailey, the new Governor of the Bank of England

Andrew Bailey
Governor of the Bank of England
Bank of England
Threadneedle St.
LONDON EC2R 8AH

16th May 2020

Dear Mr Bailey,

As the British economy faces an unprecedented and devastating jolt due to the COVID-19 pandemic, I am writing to you to seek clarification about the actual role and relevance that the Bank of England has in today's Britain and whether or not it is capable of playing an effective part over the coming months in preventing our country from experiencing a financial Armageddon.

My apologies for writing such a very long letter but there is so much essential ground to cover and actual detailed evidence to give you. My questions to you at the end of each section are in **bold** and owing to the seriousness and urgency of them all, I would be grateful for a full and comprehensive reply from you in the shortest possible time...especially to the last question.

To briefly introduce myself, my late uncle by marriage was Sir Harry, later The Lord Pilkington. He was a Director of the Bank of England from 1955 until 1972. In 1954, he attended the inaugural meeting of the **Bilderberg Group** at the Hotel Bilderberg in Holland and I think it is fair to describe him as one of those 'insiders' that conspiracy theorists like so much to talk about. He certainly gave me some 'pointers' that warned me that the governance of our country is not what it seems.

Much later on, in September 2012, I received an anonymous phone call from someone claiming to be the son of another former Director of the Bank of England who, he said, had known my late uncle and who was now very elderly and '*not long for this world*'. During a very brief conversation, he gave me what turned out to be the last piece of the jigsaw that finally made me realise, after many years of research, that there is indeed '*something very rotten in the State of Denmark*' when it comes to our financial institutions and central banking system.

As a result of this, along with further research done by myself and others on additional issues, I now have very serious concerns indeed about the whole *raison d'être* for having the Bank of England as part of the governance of our country. These concerns fall into four clear and definite problem areas:

PROBLEM AREA ONE:

In the Bank of England's own Quarterly Review of Spring 2014, it states without any hesitation whatsoever

*"In the modern economy, most money takes the form of bank deposits. But how those bank deposits are created is often misunderstood: the principal way is through commercial banks making loans. **Whenever a bank makes a loan, it simultaneously creates a matching deposit in the borrower's bank account, thereby creating new money.**"*

Now, I may not be a member of the legal profession, but I am extremely familiar with the Common Law, the Law of the Land, which at all times takes supreme precedence in England and Wales over any legislation passed by politicians in Parliament. Therefore, I have to ask you politely, which part of the God-given Common Law allows private corporations to conjure up money completely out of thin air as debt—'money' that is based on absolutely nothing tangible at all... just a simple electronic ledger exercise.

The polite phrase 'fractional reserve lending' is used by the private bankers to camouflage what they're actually doing... those of us who abide by the Law of the Land would say that this is simply a criminal act. It is, in fact, called fraud! If myself, or anyone else not related to the banking system, started to create money completely out of thin air as debt, we would expect to be given lengthy prison sentences. Maybe this is why Henry Ford once famously said *"It is well enough that people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did, I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning"*.

Governor, this is extremely serious and is at the heart of all our concerns. On behalf of the British people, I must now respectfully ask you to justify this *modus operandi* by the private banking system.

So, my questions to you here are these:

- 1. How can you interpret 'fractional reserve lending' as being anything other than Common Law fraud?**
- 2. Should private corporations have the 'right' to create and issue money completely out of thin air as debt?**

PROBLEM AREA TWO:

This concerns a private organisation called the **Bank for International Settlements (BIS)**. Before I go into detail, please allow me to give you two quotes from Professor Carroll Quigley's book entitled *'Tragedy and Hope—A History of the World in Our Time'* that was published in 1966. He wrote:

"The powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of world economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups."

Then, a little further on, he wrote:

"It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called 'international' or 'merchants' bankers) who renamed largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks..."

In case you are not overly familiar with Professor Carroll Quigley, he was a professor of history at Georgetown University and was the mentor of President Bill Clinton. He was also someone who was completely accepted and trusted by the leading financial 'insiders' and was allowed the rare privilege of attending some of their secretive meetings behind closed doors. However, his eventual exposure of their shadowy intrigues meant that his reputation suffered and his work became very difficult to publish.

Now, we are told that the Bank of England was nationalised in 1946. Wikipedia says:

"It was privately owned by stockholders from its foundation in 1694 until it was nationalised in 1946. The Bank became an independent public organisation in 1998, wholly owned by the Treasury Solicitor on behalf of the government, but with independence in setting monetary policy."

This is where I have my second major concern, along with some accompanying questions.

Having read detailed research done by others into this privately-run Bank for International Settlements (an organisation that in my humble opinion barely one percent of the entire British population has ever heard of, including, it has to be said, not an inconsiderable number of our own MPs sitting in the House of Commons) it would appear that Professor Carroll Quigley was absolutely right. When Governors of some of the key Central Banks meet in Basle, Switzerland for their regular BIS meetings—in other words the ‘inner circle’—it is quite clear that they are there to receive their instructions from those shadowy and powerful ‘investment bankers’ rather than to set up their own agendas and to implement their own decisions.

So, my questions here concerning the BIS are as follows:

- 1. How can the Bank of England be said to be ‘nationalised’ and how can it be viewed as being ‘independent’ when it is clearly answerable to this privately-run international institution that relies on the utmost secrecy and lack of transparency to run its affairs?**
- 2. As the newly-appointed Governor of the Bank of England, who do you effectively take your orders from—the Chancellor of the Exchequer or those unelected and unaccountable private ‘investment bankers’ who hold sway at the Bank for International Settlements?**
- 3. Why has the BIS been allowed by the international community to enjoy full diplomatic immunity? What is it so scared of?**
- 4. Do you share the minutes taken at these very secretive BIS meetings with all of your current Directors of the Bank of England; and does this include Frances O’Grady, the General Secretary of the TUC, who was recently appointed as a non-executive Director of the Bank of England to represent the Trade Union Movement? In other words, just how informed is she as regards the private central banking system at its very highest level? Or, come to that, how informed is the whole membership of the Trade Union Movement?**

PROBLEM AREA THREE:

In 1982, I met and was offered a job by Aurelio Peccei, the co-founder of the **Club of Rome**. I had joined the fledgling Ecology Party in 1978 with my uncle’s encouragement and, unknown to me, he had written to Peccei (a fellow Bilderberger) and as a result I was asked if I would like to go and work for the Club of Rome as one of its political researchers. When offering me the job, he said that I would be arriving at a very exciting and challenging time—his exact words were, and I remember them very clearly indeed, *“We are creating a huge global environmental problem that will frighten people into wanting a World Government run by us.”*

And that ‘*global environmental problem*’ was, of course, Anthropogenic Global Warming—a scientific theory/model that to many tens of thousands of independently-minded scientists and climatologists is now seen as being agenda-driven and completely bogus. I had this confirmed to me by the late, and extremely popular, Professor David Bellamy when, just a few weeks after he had been let go by the BBC for not agreeing to promote this ‘theory’, I went to see him at his home. Whilst filling up the kettle in his kitchen, he turned to me and said sadly *“It’s all bad science you know, Justin, bad science!”* And how right he was—CO₂ is the gas of life; we want more not less! And whilst climate change is real, it is completely natural and has been happening for thousands, if not millions of years—ask any self-respecting geo-archaeologist!

Unfortunately, this realisation that we’re all being sold a complete pack of lies on climate change does not sit well with the fact that your predecessor, Mark Carney, is now the UN Special Envoy on Climate Change. He is now responsible, with the full support of the World Economic Forum (Davos) and the Bank for International Settlements (with its so-called *Green Swan* initiative) for enforcing the world to accept a global carbon-free economy. He’s quoted in *The Guardian* as saying that companies and industries that are not moving towards zero-carbon emissions will be punished by investors and will go bankrupt. This is insanity! Man-made global warming is a complete and utter scam...and the Bank of England is now currently playing its full part to promote this total lie on humanity!

As it was, I didn’t take up the job offered to me for personal reasons. However, in the years to come the realisation gradually came over me that the Bilderberg Group, the Club of Rome, not to mention a myriad of other high-level and elitist ‘think tanks’ that meet in total secrecy with no public accountability whatsoever, are clearly not a force for good for humanity.

Indeed, it is this elitist and ‘deep state’ central banking network for global change that is now pushing the much hyped-up and completely fake **Green New Deal**, which, in turn, is doing the bidding for the virtually unknown **UN Agenda 2030**—a totalitarian-tiptoe agenda that will lead humanity towards an Orwellian high-surveillance future of total control by a technocracy. A future that will involve living in a cashless society with virtually no high-street banks to go to and where the world will be completely dominated by a **Central Bank Digital Currency**...such as the one currently being considered by the Bank of England which you, Governor, are now overseeing.

With actual cash now being slowly but determinedly phased out, this would leave the private financial and banking fraternity in complete ‘digital’ control of humanity. It would then just be a matter of time before a version of the Chinese universal basic income scheme was wheeled in...a social credit points system that uses artificial intelligence, facial recognition, drones and other invasive 5G surveillance technologies to ensure that everyone, if they want to be paid, becomes compliant and obedient to the state, or more accurately the ‘system’—the ‘system’ that is effectively bringing in a global fascist police state run by the central bankers who have given themselves the unlawful ability to control the world’s money supply.

These are now the questions I have for you in this section:

1. **Do you still support the global implementation of this Green New Deal and UN Agenda 2030 even though it can be proved beyond all reasonable doubt that it is based on completely flawed science?**
2. **Are you supportive of a Central Bank Digital Currency?**
3. **Do you see any future for cash and notes as convenient units of exchange for goods and services?**

PROBLEM AREA FOUR—and the immediate solution needed to save the British economy:

And now, Mr Bailey, we come to that final piece of the jigsaw.

With tens of thousands of British businesses facing imminent financial ruin and the National Debt set to soar as the Government prepares to borrow billions (of fresh air money) from the private financial sector, people may be very surprised to know that there is an extremely simple, proven and historical solution to initiate that would immediately stabilise our ‘ship of state’ so that our nation can ride out this COVID-19 storm without incurring any long term—or even too much short term—economic damage. And all we need to do is to return to a period of our history when another financial Armageddon threatened to suddenly overwhelm our country’s economy.

Please let me remind you of what happened on August 4th 1914 when the City of London suddenly found itself faced with the outbreak of the First World War. I make no apology now for quoting almost verbatim from a virtually unknown book entitled *The Financiers and the Nation—Primary Source Edition*.

I first came across this book by searching the internet back in 2012 after receiving that anonymous and very brief phone-call from the alleged son of an elderly and retired Director of the Bank of England. He told me to research the word ‘**Bradbury**’ and that if I did, I would find “*a solution to nearly all of Britain’s economic woes.*” As I searched the internet, I eventually came across this truly illuminating book that had been written by a certain Thomas Johnston back in 1934.

Who was Thomas Johnston? Well, he was both an MP and a Privy Councillor. He went on to look after Scotland during the Second World War in Churchill’s wartime government and is known as the father of the Scottish hydro-electric power scheme. He seems to have been a thoroughly honourable and decent politician about whom nobody had a bad word to say.

In Chapter 6, entitled *Usury on the Great War*, he wrote:

“When the whistle blew for the start of the Great War in August 1914 the Bank of England possessed only nine millions sterling of a gold reserve, and, as the Bank of England was the Bankers’ Bank, this sum constituted the effective reserve of all the other Banking Institutions in Great Britain.

The bank managers at the outbreak of War were seriously afraid that the depositing public, in a panic, would demand the return of their money. And, inasmuch as the deposits and savings left in the hands of the bankers by the depositing public had very largely been sunk by the bankers in enterprises which, at the best, could not repay the borrowed capital quickly, and which in several and large-scale instances were likely to be submerged altogether in the stress of war and in the collapse of great areas of international trade, it followed that if there were a widespread panicky run upon the banks, the banks would be unable to pay and the whole credit system would collapse, to the ruin of millions of people.

Private enterprise banking thus being on the verge of collapse, the Government (Mr. Lloyd George at the time was Chancellor of the Exchequer) hurriedly declared a moratorium, i.e. it authorised the banks not to pay out (which in any event the banks could not do), and it extended the August Bank Holiday for another three days. During these three or four days when the banks and stock exchanges were closed, the bankers held anxious negotiation with the Chancellor of the Exchequer. And one of them has placed upon record the fact that 'he (Mr. George) did everything that we asked him to do.' When the banks re-opened, the public discovered that, instead of getting their money back in gold, they were paid in a new legal tender of Treasury notes (the £1 notes in black and the 10s. notes in red colours). **This new currency had been issued by the State, was backed by the credit of the State, and was issued to the banks to prevent the banks from utter collapse. The public cheerfully accepted the new notes; and nobody talked about inflation."**

I've highlighted the end bit because these last three lines expose the whole scam that government finance has become. The simple truth is that any sovereign nation can create, issue and control its own debt-free and interest-free money through its treasury that's based entirely on the credit (wealth and creativity) of that nation. As you are no doubt well aware, HM Treasury knows this fiscal arrangement as *MO at 100%*—to those of us who are campaigning to expose the blatant fraud being conducted by the privately-controlled central banking system, along with the politicians who refuse to question what's really going on, we call this fiscal arrangement **Sovereign National Credit**.

From August until the end of 1914, to save the City of London and to give the British nation the liquidity needed to mobilise for all-out and total war, HM Treasury created over £300,000,000 (in today's money that is over £35 billion). The general public named these new treasury notes '**Bradbury Pounds**' after Sir John Bradbury, the Permanent Secretary to the Treasury and whose signature was on the notes.

Unfortunately, having saved the private bankers from considerable embarrassment and financial ruin, the fraudsters in the City of London again got the upper hand. Thomas Johnston continues the story...

"To return, however, to the early war period, no sooner had Mr. Lloyd George got the bankers out of their difficulties in the autumn of 1914 by the issue of the Treasury money, than they were round again at the Treasury door explaining forcibly that the State must, upon no account, issue any more money on this interest free basis; if the war was to be run, it must be run with borrowed money, money upon which interest must be paid, and they were the gentlemen who would see to the proper financing of a good, juicy War Loan at three and a half per cent interest, and to that last proposition the Treasury yielded. The War was not to be fought with interest-free money, and/or/with conscription of wealth; though it was to be fought with conscription of life. Many small businesses were to be closed and their proprietors sent overseas as redundant, and without any compensation for their losses, while Finance, as we shall see, was to be heavily and progressively remunerated."

In other words, Mr Bailey, the bankers were then allowed to make a killing out of the killing on the Western Front. As to why David Lloyd George did such a 'U' turn in policy has never been fully explained but if you go on Wikipedia about the resultant increase in the National Debt, you will read the following:

"At the beginning of the 20th century the national debt stood at around 30 percent of GDP. However, during World War I the British Government was forced to borrow heavily in order to finance the war effort. The national debt increased from £650m in 1914 to £7.4 billion in 1919."

Britain was **'forced to borrow heavily'**? When you know that the entire war could easily have been fought by harnessing the nation's wealth through using debt-free and interest-free Treasury money, you really have to wonder about the unlawful leverage that private bankers had...and still have...over our wretched politicians!

As part of my research, I read *Saving the City—The Great Financial Crisis of 1914* by Richard Roberts which was published in 2013 just before the centenary of the Bradbury Pound in 2014. According to one of your former Governors, Mervyn King, the book is *'Lucid and masterly'*—according to the former Chancellor of the Exchequer, George Osborne, it is *'A timely reminder that if we don't want to repeat the mistakes of the past then we first need to understand them.'* I read the book from cover to cover and would urge everyone to read it. Why? Firstly, because it shows just how ridiculously complicated and almost unfathomable the banking and financial sector is! And secondly, it was very amusing to read the detailed description of how the Bank of England and HM Treasury finally, and somewhat painfully, got around to printing the treasury notes that were so desperately needed to save the day.

The author, a Professor of Contemporary History at King's College London, has also held fellowships at Downing College, Cambridge, Princeton University, *and the Bank of England*, so, hardly surprisingly, he steered a very safe course not to give the game away as regards the unpalatable truth about fraudulent money creation by the private financial sector. There's nowhere in the book which describes this simple Treasury fiscal process like Thomas Johnston does. Nowhere does Richard Roberts say anything like *'this new currency had been issued by the State, was backed by the credit of the State, and was issued to the banks to prevent the banks from utter collapse. The public cheerfully accepted the new notes; and nobody talked about inflation'*. Unfortunately, it does seem that academia must be viewed as being part of the overall problem—how many students doing economics today are familiar with what I've written so far? Hardly any, I would guess!

The simple truth is that the British people are being completely deceived by the whole system of private and government finance and kept in the dark by our elected servants in Parliament.

And just to reinforce further what I've written so far about this appalling and completely fraudulent financial system that affects each and every one of us, here are two damning extracts from the book ***Economic Tribulations*** written by **Vincent C. Vickers**.

Now, who was Vincent Vickers? Well, he was a leading industrialist (a long-term director at Vickers) as well as being a Deputy Lieutenant of the City of London and a Director of the Bank of England from 1910 to 1919 where he personally witnessed the 'behind the scenes' chaotic shenanigans that eventually brought in the debt-free and interest-free Treasury notes that saved the day. In 1926, Vickers publicly declared his opposition to the policies of Montagu Norman (the longest-serving Governor of the Bank of England), especially about his proposal to set up the highly controversial and criminal-based Bank for International Settlements. Vickers finished writing his book just after the outbreak of the Second World War but unfortunately died only one month later in November 1939. In his book...and please remember that he had been a Director of the Bank of England and was definitely 'in the know'... he wrote:

"Although it is the money system which is to be accused of dishonesty, those who use and depend upon a dishonest system, knowing that system to be dishonest, cannot themselves be regarded as honest men. Moreover, it may be that the present system, which international finance has forced our democratic government to adopt, uphold, and protect by every possible means, has undermined the character of the people and forced them to alter their definition of the word honesty so that it may be made to comply more nearly with modern practice.

The supply and issue of money and the creation of credit still remain almost entirely outside the control of the Government, and are still managed by Banking and Finance and by the Bank of England with its intimate associations with the Bank for International Settlements; whilst, until our actual declaration of war, Foreign Exchange speculators were permitted at all times to gamble with the nation's credit, untrammelled by any sense of patriotic duty and thinking only of their own profit.....Until these financial Gangsters are permanently exterminated there can be no complete confidence in the economic welfare of the country.

What an utter condemnation of our banking and financial system this is! And what a condemnation of our political class for allowing such a state of affairs and system of finance to exist!

In Chapter VII of *Economic Tribulations*, which is entitled '*The Direction of Future Policy*', Vickers lists his proposals which are not too dissimilar to what we need today. They are proposals that would certainly '*exterminate*' those '*financial Gangsters*'! He wrote:

"In the question of what steps should be taken to put matters right, I can only suggest the general direction in which our future policy should point; for I myself do not believe that there exists any perfect cut-and-dried scheme which is likely hereafter to be adopted, lock, stock, and barrel, as our future monetary system. Moreover, there are many other technical and psychological considerations which would be necessary in order to achieve peace and contentment amongst the people. The main objectives however, should include:

- 1.) State control and State issue of currency and credit through a central organisation managed and controlled by the State.
- 2.) Stabilisation of the wholesale price level of commodities. That is to say, a fixed and constant internal purchasing power of money; so that a pound will buy to-morrow what it bought yesterday; an honest pound, not a fluctuating pound. And this can be done by so issuing and regulating the volume of available credit and currency that it shall at all times be adequate to permit of the purchasing power of the consumer being equated with the volume of production; not by limiting the purchasing power, but by firstly increasing purchasing power more in proportion to the productive capacity of industry.
- 3.) Fixation of foreign exchanges by foreign exchange equalisation funds, and agreement with Empire countries and all other countries willing to fall into line; and, once this was accomplished, the removal or diminution of trade barriers which to-day protect the countries from the results of a bad monetary system.
- 4.) Any additional supply of money should be issued as a clear asset to the State; so that money will be spent into existence, and not lent into existence.
- 5.) The fluctuating quantity of gold lying in the vaults of the banking system should never be permitted to govern the volume of credit and currency needed by the country.
- 6.) The elimination of slumps and booms; and more direct procedure for eliminating unnecessary poverty
- 7.) The abolition of the Debt System where all credit is created by the banks and hired out at interest to the country.

To back up and confirm what Vincent Vickers wrote in his book, let's now look at this 1924 quote from Reginald McKenna when he addressed, as chairman of the board of the Midland Bank, his stockholders. He said "*I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can and do create and destroy money. And they who control the credit of a nation direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people.*" McKenna was also Chancellor of the Exchequer in 1915-16 (taking over from David Lloyd George) so he clearly knew what he was talking about! And he was also extremely familiar with the success and effectiveness of the Bradbury Pound and how the private bankers then **forced** that unlawful 'U' turn upon the government that resulted in the huge increase in our National Debt.

THE INEVITABLE CONCLUSION:

So, Mr Bailey, you can see that we really do have huge problems with the organisation that you now lead. Especially as our country now faces, as you yourself have been telling the mainstream news organisations, the biggest economic crisis for three hundred years.

But let's pause for a moment and consider what might just happen if Rishi Sunak, our comparatively new Chancellor of the Exchequer (and who is currently enjoying a considerable amount of praise at the moment for his actions so far) suddenly did an *August 1914 Lloyd George* and went for a new and electronic Treasury-created, debt-free and interest-free Bradbury Pound to immediately put an end to the growing financial chaos.

Such an action by the Chancellor would immediately provide a safety net for the entire **lawful** part of our nation's economy—that is pensions, wages, infrastructure, industry, businesses, share-holders and the value and stability of our money. Our economy would be immediately under-pinned and protected by the credit of the nation. And existing Bank of England 'debt-based' notes would be immediately converted and transformed from '*I promise to pay the bearer on demand the sum of...*' to being like our coinage (which is created by the Treasury as M0 at 2.8%) as a unit of exchange for goods and services that is based entirely on our nation's wealth and creativity. And it is important to emphasise yet again, that this is NOT 'fiat' or debt-based fresh air money that's backed by absolutely nothing. This is not what we see happen when the private central banks around the world carry out their Quantitative Easing 'helicopter money' exercise/scam. No, Sovereign National Credit is based instead on something far, far more tangible—what our country is actually worth!

In 2018, according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS), our nation's assets were worth in total £10.4 trillion. The UK's human capital stock in 2018, again according to the ONS, was valued at £21.4 trillion. This means that the total wealth of Britain is currently well over £30 trillion. So, it would be quite in order to immediately draw around £1 trillion from this figure (as debt-free and interest-free money) in order to ride out the immediate Coronavirus storm and to then sensibly steady the ship of state for a future of real prosperity without any involvement at all from the private central bankers and the Bank for International Settlements.

Such a common-sense action would mean that the British economy would have the immediate liquidity needed to become stable and completely impervious to the whims and greed of the international and privately controlled money markets, central banking system and the completely criminal mind-set behind it. The British people would even enjoy living in a country that's free from having an invasive and complex direct taxation system that's so enjoyed by politicians seeking to control us. We would actually enjoy continuous and real prosperity rather than experiencing the constant misery of austerity, worrying about 'the deficit' and witnessing the effects of spiralling poverty as we see an increasing dependence upon 'food banks'. We would once again become a country attractive to entrepreneurs and people of vision with new ideas.

Just look at what could be done with the 2020 electronic equivalent of the 1914 Bradbury Pound—the NHS would have all the money it needs to provide a consistent and first-class service; the vulnerable and the elderly in our society would have all the excellent social care they need; the Armed Services would have all the personnel, equipment and resources that they require to effectively defend our nation's shores and sovereignty; the police would have the ability to restore proper community policing to take crime off the streets; strategic industries would be protected from hostile foreign take-overs; and the country would have the resources needed to mitigate against the worst effects of climate change such as creating proper flood defences and protecting communities from coastal erosion. And all student debts would be completely written off without delay whilst all future education would be free at source.

Not a bad list to show what can be done by simply harnessing the truth, common sense and an historical precedent that worked brilliantly in 1914! And, contrary to what the system-serving economists will try and tell you, there is absolutely no danger of damaging or run-away inflation as the private currency speculators that trigger 'panics' (such as what happened to the Weimar Republic in the 1920s) will play no further part in the future financial stability of our country.

And finally, and probably the most important point of all, every government of every country in the world will have to follow suit—the people will simply demand it! Poverty, austerity, slavery and debt misery will all be confined to the history books forever, as will large-scale economic migration. The world will see a future of

genuine peace, freedom, prosperity and ecological stability. That's the simple reality of exposing and then harnessing the deliberately hidden 'big secret' of Sovereign National Credit!

So, when you see the prospect of having a future financial system that is based solely on common sense, common decency and the Common Law, these are the final questions for you Mr Bailey:

- 1. Will you now please acknowledge that a sovereign nation's government does not have to borrow money from the private financial sector?**
- 2. Will you confirm publicly the success of the 1914 Treasury-issued Bradbury Pound**
- 3. With the evidence presented to you here, do you now accept that there is now absolutely no need at all to have the Bank of England as part of our nation's governance? Indeed, wouldn't it be more sensible to have HM Treasury take over completely the Bank of England and to then set up a network of Regional and Local Public Banks to administer this new fiscal arrangement of Sovereign National Credit for the financial benefit of everyone?**

I hope, Mr Bailey, that you will now address my raised concerns in this letter and that you will fully answer my questions. The people of Britain are now facing extremely uncertain times with millions of jobs at risk as tens of thousands of sound businesses are exposed to completely unnecessary financial ruin and collapse; not to mention the fact that the government is now set to borrow at least another £400 billion of 'fresh air' money from the banking and financial fraudsters in the City of London thus ramping up even further our completely unlawful National Debt which currently stands at well over £1.8 trillion.

And worst still, we can see the building blocks being manoeuvred into position to build our *UN Agenda 2030* prison by bringing in and imposing upon all of us the completely fake *Green New Deal*, aided and abetted by a corporate-led and completely invasive 'technocracy' (known by the attendees of the World Economic Forum at Davos as the *Fourth Industrial Revolution*)—a system of tyrannical governance that George Orwell warned us about all those years ago.

We cannot allow this to happen—this is all-out global and corporate fascism and humanity does not deserve this! The mass exposure and real debate about the proven truth around money creation and money supply—and who is really behind it all—will stop this insane process dead in its tracks. The ball is now firmly in your court, Mr Bailey. The truth genie is out of the bottle. You may be 'The Governor', but you are also, like the rest of us, a human-being with a family!

I trust that you will now respond to this open letter with the urgency it so thoroughly deserves.

Your obedient servant, Sir...in truth!

Justin R.G. Walker

New Chartist Movement (www.newchartistmovement.org.uk) jrgwalker@aol.com