

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383868383>

Guidelines for developing media policy for sustainable development of Thai society: A case study of Crime news

Article · July 2024

CITATIONS

0

READS

23

1 author:



Aa Se
AASE

32 PUBLICATIONS 0 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Guidelines for developing media policy for sustainable development of Thai society: A case study of Crime news

Monthakant Rodklai^{a*}

^a College of Politics and Government, Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand
Email Address of Corresponding Author: monthakant.ro@ssru.ac.th

Abstract

This academic article explores the critical role of media policy in influencing Thai society, focusing specifically on the portrayal of crime news to illustrate how current media policies are not up-to-date or effective enough to ensure citizens' security. By employing various case studies, the paper examines the effects of crime news coverage on public perception and societal norms, identifying a tendency towards sensationalism that exacerbates social anxieties and skews perceptions of crime and safety. These issues largely arise from commercial pressures and a lack of rigorous media policies emphasizing ethical reporting. The findings highlight the need for media policy reforms, including stricter ethical standards for crime reporting, balanced and accurate news portrayals, and improved media literacy among the public. The proposed guidelines for media policy development aim to align journalistic practices with sustainable development goals, advocating for policy interventions that balance public interest with journalistic independence in sustainable way. By implementing comprehensive media policy adjustments, the study argues for a pathway toward a more informed, equitable, and resilient Thai society.

Keywords: Crime news; Media ethics; Public perception; Sustainable development

Background

While the world has evolved significantly over the years, reflecting changes in government, society, and technology, Thai media has not changed much from where it started. It continues to rely on Constitutional Protections that, on one hand, guarantee freedom of expression and the press, but on the other hand, have sometimes been restricted in practice, especially during periods of political unrest or military rule (Gebhart & Kohno, 2017). Moreover, media regulation is governed by various laws and government bodies, including the National Broadcasting and Telecommunications Commission (NBTC) and the Ministry of Digital Economy and Society (MDES) (Prapawong, 2018). These bodies oversee broadcasting licenses, content regulation, and telecommunications, and they enforce laws that restrict content deemed offensive or harmful to national security or public order. Recently, the Thai government has also implemented measures to regulate the internet, including censorship of websites and social media platforms. The Computer Crime Act grants authority broad powers to monitor online activity and take action against content deemed illegal or inappropriate. However, international organizations such as Reporters Without Borders and Human Rights Watch, as well as many Thai citizens, have raised concerns about press freedom of speech and human rights violations in Thailand, including harassment and intimidation of journalists, restrictions on independent media, and censorship which is readily apparent in crime news coverage.

Thailand has enacted laws to regulate online content but there isn't a specific law dedicated solely to social media regulation especially regarding crime news that affects citizens' lives in various aspects (Chotpradit, 2018). Existing laws, such as the Computer Crime Act, apply to online platforms and their users. Social media companies are expected to comply with government requests to remove content that violates Thai laws. In recent years, there have been instances where social media platforms were temporarily blocked in Thailand for failing to remove content deemed offensive or illegal by authorities. Furthermore, Thailand has implemented data protection laws, such as the Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA), which came into effect in 2020. This law regulates the collection, use, and disclosure of personal data and imposes obligations on organizations, including social media platforms, to protect users' privacy (Sakul-Ung et al, 2020). However, it doesn't fully cover some forms of media, such as Facebook Live or personal online pages. Additionally, there are some concerns about the use of surveillance technologies to monitor online communications and activities, with limited detailed regulations, especially regarding crime-related incidents.

Thailand's media landscape faces several challenges and problems, ranging from legal constraints to censorship and self-censorship (Gebhart & Kohno, 2017). Legal restrictions such as the lese-majeste law (insulting the monarchy), defamation laws, and the Computer Crime Act impose significant limitations on freedom of expression (Chotpradit, 2018). These laws have been criticized for their vague and broad language, leading to self-censorship among journalists and citizens to avoid potential legal repercussions. The threat of legal action, combined with pressure from authorities, often results in censorship and self-censorship among journalists and media outlets. In addition, journalists and media workers in Thailand face threats, harassment, and violence, particularly when reporting on sensitive issues or criticizing powerful interests. Similarly, victims of crime and their families encounter challenges without any proper protection and support (Sangsuwon et al, 2019). As a result, public trust in the media has declined in recent years due to perceived bias, censorship, and lack of transparency. Many Thais rely on social media and alternative sources for news and information, further fragmenting the media landscape. Addressing these challenges requires concerted efforts to reform media laws, promote media independence and pluralism, and protect the rights of journalists, media workers, and also crime victims. Ensuring freedom of expression and access to diverse and independent media is crucial, while

also respecting citizens' right to safety and security (Sakul-Ung et al, 2020).

Content

Thailand's media policy faces significant challenges in keeping up with the rapid pace of the online world, particularly in terms of sharing, sending, and repeating information across digital platforms. The internet and digital technologies are evolving rapidly, enabling the quick dissemination of information across borders and platforms (Sangsuwon et al, 2019). However, regulations often struggle to keep pace with these technological advancements, leading to gaps in oversight and enforcement. The inherently global nature of the internet allows information to spread across national boundaries almost instantaneously, and the anonymity it offers can make it difficult for authorities to trace the source of content or hold individuals accountable for spreading misinformation, hate speech, or other harmful content. This means that information on the internet can go viral, reaching millions of users within a short period. The rapid dissemination of information presents challenges for addressing misinformation or harmful content before it reaches a wide audience, requiring swift and proactive responses from policymakers and regulators. The rise of user-generated content platforms, such as social media and online forums, has democratized the creation and dissemination of information. While this empowers individuals to share their perspectives and experiences, it also complicates content regulation, as platforms may struggle to moderate vast amounts of user-generated content in real time (Prapawong, 2018). End-to-end encryption and other privacy protections implemented by messaging apps and other online platforms can make it difficult for authorities to access and monitor online communications, even for legitimate law enforcement purposes. This poses challenges for maintaining national security and combating illegal activities online.

Although there are many challenging aspects of Thai media policies, crime reporting presents a significant challenge within Thailand's media landscape due to its complex intersection with media policy (Sakul-Ung et al, 2020). Issues such as sensationalism and accuracy are particularly problematic because crime stories tend to attract attention, often leading to sensationalist reporting that prioritizes dramatic narratives over factual accuracy. This approach can perpetuate misinformation and distort public perceptions of crime rates and trends. Furthermore, crime reporting raises ethical dilemmas, such as respecting the privacy and dignity of victims and suspects, avoiding undue harm, and maintaining impartiality and fairness in coverage. Media policy should establish guidelines and standards to ensure responsible and ethical reporting practices, addressing these ethical concerns. Additionally, the impact of media coverage on public perception and policy can be significant. The way crime is reported in the media can shape public perceptions of safety and security, influence public opinion, and drive demand for law enforcement action or policy changes. It is crucial for media policies to guide journalists and media outlets toward a balanced and responsible approach to crime reporting to mitigate these risks (Magpanthong, 2013).

However, sensationalist or biased reporting may lead to exaggerated fears and misconceptions about crime, affecting public discourse and policymaking. In addition, the Thai government may seek to control or manipulate crime reporting to serve its own interests, such as suppressing information that reflects negatively on the authorities or promoting narratives that support government policies or agendas. This undermines the media's role as a watchdog and protector of public interests. The freedom of the press is crucial for holding authorities accountable and keeping the public informed about matters of public interest, including crime and justice (Prapawong, 2018). Nevertheless, there are some overlapping issues arise between the proper restrictions on access to information or official secrecy can obstruct journalists' ability to report accurately and comprehensively on crime-related issues and the implementation of the Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA) of Thai citizens

(Sakul-Ung et al, 2020). While journalists play a crucial role in uncovering and reporting on crime, they must also work ethically and collaboratively with law enforcement agencies to ensure accurate and responsible coverage (Nupairoj, 2013). Effective media policy should provide guidance and support to journalists while safeguarding the public's right to access information and fostering public trust in the media. This approach should balance the need for ethical journalism with the public's right to know, ensuring that media practices do not compromise justice or infringe on individual privacy.

Case Study

Thailand's crime news landscape indeed reflects the challenges that media policy faces in protecting victims and adapting to the rapidly evolving online world, especially concerning social media and digital platforms (Magpanthong, 2013). The following issues highlight these challenges:

1. **Victim Privacy and Sensitivity:** Crime news reporting often struggles to balance the need for information dissemination with the protection of victim's privacy and dignity. In the age of social media, where information spreads rapidly, there's a risk of victims being re-traumatized or stigmatized by sensationalist reporting or by sharing sensitive details without consent.
2. **Rapid Dissemination of Information on Social Media:** Social media platforms facilitate the rapid spread of crime news, sometimes without proper verification or consideration of the impact on victims and their families. Once a story gains traction online, it can be challenging to control its narrative or mitigate any harm caused by misinformation or sensationalism.
3. **Challenges in Regulation and Oversight:** Regulating social media and digital platforms poses significant challenges for policymakers due to the global nature of these platforms and the sheer volume of user-generated content. Thailand may struggle to enforce existing regulations or develop new ones that effectively address the unique dynamics of online crime news.
4. **Impact on Victims and Witnesses:** The online dissemination of crime news can have profound effects on victims, witnesses, and their families. In some cases, victims may face harassment, threats, or social ostracism as a result of their involvement in a high-profile crime. Witnesses may also be reluctant to come forward or testify if they fear reprisals or public scrutiny.
5. **Misinformation and False Narratives:** social media can be a breeding ground for misinformation and false narratives in crime news. Rumors, conspiracy theories, and biased reporting can distort the public's perception of a case, undermine trust in the justice system, and further victimize those involved.
6. **Lack of Media Literacy and Awareness:** A lack of media literacy and awareness among the public can exacerbate the negative effects of sensationalist or misleading crime news reporting on social media. Without the skills to critically evaluate information sources and discern fact from fiction, individuals may inadvertently contribute to the spread of harmful narratives (Nupairoj, 2013).

From these challenges, Thailand can strive to create a more ethical, responsible, and victim-centered approach to crime news reporting in the digital age (Chinkarnjanaroj & Wongchestha, 2020).

An example that illustrates the struggles of Thailand's media policy in taking care of victims involves the case of the Koh Tao murders. In September 2014, the bodies of two

British tourists, David Miller and Hannah Witheridge, were found on the island of Koh Tao in Thailand which both victims had been brutally murdered (Chinkarnjanaroj & Wongchestha, 2020). The case gained international attention and scrutiny due to concerns about the Thai authorities' handling of the investigation and the treatment of the victims' families. The media coverage of the Koh Tao murders highlighted several challenges on how victims were treated and portrayed in the Thai media. First, there was the Sensationalism and Speculation as The Thai media's coverage on the case was marked by sensationalism and speculation, with numerous theories and rumors circulating about the perpetrators and the motive behind the murders. This speculative reporting added to the distress of the victims' families and fueled public outrage. Second was Victim Blaming and Stigmatization as there were instances of victim blaming and stigmatization in the media, with some reports focusing on the victims' behavior or lifestyle choices rather than the perpetrators' actions. This contributed to a culture of victim blaming and added to the pain and suffering of the victims' families (Cohen, 2016). Third, Lack of Sensitivity Towards Victims' Families as the media's insensitivity, both towards local and foreign families, exacerbated their grief and trauma. There were reports of intrusive questioning and harassment by journalists, as well as the dissemination of graphic images and details of the crime without consideration for the families' feelings. Fourth there was Misinformation and Inaccurate Reporting because the media's rush to report on the case led to the spread of misinformation and inaccuracies, further complicating the investigation and undermining public trust in the authorities' handling of the case. Finally, the case portrayed Limited Accountability and Oversight as the media's coverage of the Koh Tao murders lacked sufficient mechanisms to ensure responsible reporting and adherence to ethical standards. This murders case highlighted the need for improved media policies and practices in Thailand to better protect the rights and dignity of victims and their families. It underscores the importance of ensuring sensitivity, accuracy, and accountability in crime reporting, as well as providing support and assistance to victims and their loved ones during times of crisis (Cohen, 2016).

Thailand is not the only country facing the challenges of media policy keeping up with the pace of the online world and grappling with concerns over victim privacy in crime cases, leading to several severe incidents. A notable case in Europe that exemplifies the lack of concern for victim privacy, subsequently prompting improvement in media policy is the disappearance of Madeleine McCann. The three-year-old British girl, vanished from her family's holiday apartment in Portugal in May 2007. The case gained international media attention, with extensive coverage across Europe and beyond. However, this coverage often crossed ethical boundaries, as the media disclosed sensitive information about the family and engaged in rampant speculation about various aspects of the case (Greer & McLaughlin, 2012). The McCann family endured immense media scrutiny and intrusion into their privacy, with details of their personal lives, including their movements and relationships, being widely publicized. This invasion of privacy not only added to the family's distress but also potentially compromised the investigation. The case sparked a debate about the ethics of media coverage, particularly regarding the privacy of victims and their families. It highlighted the need for clearer guidelines and regulations to prevent such intrusions in the future. In response to this and similar cases, efforts have been made to improve media policies across Europe. Several countries have implemented or strengthened laws to protect the privacy of crime victims and their families (Are, 2021). These laws aim to balance the public's right to information with the need to respect the privacy and dignity of those affected by crime. The Madeleine McCann case serves as a poignant example of how a disregard for victim privacy can have far-reaching consequences, ensuring positive changes in media policy to prevent similar incidents in the future.

From cases like this and the rapidly evolving online world, which increases the potential to create problematic situations in crime news, European Union (EU) implemented the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in 2018 to provide a comprehensive framework for data protection and privacy rights for individuals within the EU (Greer & McLaughlin, 2012). While the GDPR aims to address concerns about data privacy in the digital age, its implementation has faced challenges in keeping up with the rapid changes in the online world, especially regarding User Awareness and Consent. However, ensuring that users are fully aware of their rights and responsibilities regarding data privacy and consent can be challenging, particularly given the vast amount of online information and the complex nature of privacy policies and terms of service agreements (Are, 2021). Improving user awareness and understanding of data privacy issues remains an ongoing challenge for regulators and industry stakeholders. Overall, GDPR illustrates the difficulties of developing and implementing media policy that can effectively keep pace with the speed of the online world.

In the United States, one prominent case that illustrates the lack of concern for victim and family privacy, and the subsequent improvement of media policy, is the kidnapping and murder of Adam Walsh. Adam, a six-year-old boy, was abducted from a shopping mall in Hollywood, Florida, in 1981. His disappearance received extensive media coverage, with details of the case broadcasted nationwide. However, this coverage often crossed ethical boundaries, with graphic descriptions of the crime scene and the victim's injuries. The Walsh family endured significant intrusion into their privacy, with their personal lives scrutinized and sensationalized by the media. This invasion of privacy not only added to the family's trauma but also potentially hindered the investigation. The case sparked a national conversation about the ethics of media coverage and the need to protect the privacy of crime victims and their families. Adam's father, John Walsh, became a vocal advocate for victims' rights and played a significant role in pushing for legislative changes to improve media policy (Albritton, 2016). In 1996, Congress passed the "Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act," which aimed to enhance the tracking of sex offenders and improve coordination between law enforcement agencies in cases involving missing and exploited children. Additionally, the act included provisions to protect the privacy of crime victims and their families, limiting the disclosure of sensitive information by the media (Hartnett & Walsh, 1998). The Adam Walsh case served as a catalyst for reforming media policy in America, leading to the enactment of laws and regulations designed to safeguard the privacy and dignity of crime victims and their loved ones. The Act also sparked discussions about the balance between public safety and the rights of offenders. Some argue that the Act's provisions for public notification may lead to stigma and harassment against offenders who have already served their sentences, while others believe that public awareness is crucial for protecting communities from potential harm (Hartnett & Walsh, 1998).

South Korea also had one notable case that exemplifies the potential problems caused by loose media policies: the "Nth Room" case, which emerged in 2019. This case involved a large-scale online sexual exploitation and blackmailing operation that victimized numerous women, including underage girls. In the "Nth Room," perpetrators used various social media platforms to lure victims into providing compromising photos and videos. They then used this material to blackmail and coerce the victims into creating more explicit content, which was subsequently shared and sold on online platforms. The victims were often threatened with exposure if they did not comply with the perpetrators' demands. The case gained widespread attention in South Korea due to its scale and the severity of the crimes involved (Lee & Lee, 2021). However, the loose media policies surrounding the reporting of such cases raised concerns about victim privacy and the potential for re-victimization. In the aftermath of the "Nth Room", debates arose about the ethical responsibility of media outlets

when reporting on sensitive issues involving victims of sexual exploitation and abuse. This included discussions on the harm caused by sensationalizing the details of victims' experiences or by disclosing identifying information (Kim, 2023).

Following the "Nth Room" case, several significant improvements and changes were made to South Korean media policies to address the issues highlighted by the case and to better protect victims of sexual exploitation and abuse (Lee & Lee, 2021). These changes include the following:

1. **Tighter Regulation on Reporting Sensitive Cases:** There was an increased emphasis on ethical reporting practices, particularly regarding cases involving victims of sexual exploitation and abuse. Media outlets were encouraged to exercise greater discretion and sensitivity in their coverage, avoiding the dissemination of explicit details or identifying information that could potentially re-victimize survivors.
2. **Stronger Legal Protections for Victims:** There were calls for strengthened legal protections for victims of sexual exploitation and abuse, including measures to safeguard their privacy and prevent further harm. This may involve stricter penalties for those who disseminate or share explicit content without consent, as well as enhanced support services for survivors (Kim, 2023).
3. **Expansion of Victim Support Services:** The "Nth Room" case highlighted the need for comprehensive support services for victims of online sexual exploitation and abuse. Efforts were made to expand counseling, legal assistance, and other support resources available to survivors, along with initiatives to raise awareness about these services and encourage reporting of such crimes.
4. **Improved Cybersecurity Measures:** In response to the "Nth Room" case, there were calls for stronger cybersecurity measures to prevent similar crimes in the future. This includes efforts to enhance online safety protocols, increase cooperation between law enforcement agencies and internet service providers, and improve public education on cybersecurity risks.
5. **Public Awareness Campaigns:** There were initiatives to raise public awareness about the prevalence and impact of online sexual exploitation and abuse, as well as the importance of supporting survivors and holding perpetrators accountable. These efforts may involve media campaigns, educational programs in schools and communities, and collaboration with the public.

From numerous cases mentioned above, it is clear that countries around the world employ various strategies to improve their media policies, addressing challenges such as technological advancements, changing media consumption habits, and evolving regulatory landscapes. Here are some approaches used by countries to enhance their media policies; First of all, the Legislative Reforms, as many countries periodically review and update their media laws and regulations to adapt to changing circumstances. This may involve introducing new legislation, amending existing laws, or repealing outdated regulations. The goal is to address emerging issues, strengthen media freedom and independence, and promote responsible journalism. Along with the Self-Regulatory Mechanisms, some countries encourage the development of self-regulatory mechanisms within the media industry to promote ethical standards, accountability, and professionalism. Self-regulatory bodies, such as press councils or journalism associations, establish codes of conduct, handle complaints from the public, and provide guidance and support to journalists and media organizations. Moreover, Media Literacy Initiatives are also important as governments may invest in media literacy programs to educate citizens about critical thinking, digital literacy, and responsible

media consumption because it aims to empower individuals to navigate the media landscape effectively, recognize misinformation and propaganda, and engage critically with media content. Or even Investment in Media Infrastructure as initiatives to support the development of digital media infrastructure, content creation tools, and distribution platforms. This includes funding research and development projects, supporting startups and innovation hubs, and promoting the adoption of emerging technologies in the media industry.

Results: Guidelines

There is no fixed number of guidelines for improving media policy, as the specific recommendations vary depending on the country's context, challenges, and priorities. The application of guidelines to improve media policy should be tailored to the specific context and needs of each country. However, some guidelines are universally applicable and essential for fostering a healthy and vibrant media environment. A few key guidelines that can serve as a foundation for improving media policy such as Ensure Access to Information by guaranteeing public access to information, including government data, official records, and public interest information, promotes transparency, accountability, and informed decision-making. This guideline involves enacting freedom of information laws, establishing mechanisms for proactive disclosure of government information, and providing resources for investigative journalism. While strong Support Ethical Journalism should be highly considered by encouraging ethical journalism practices--such as accuracy, fairness, impartiality, and respect for privacy and human rights--enhances the credibility and trustworthiness of media content. This includes promoting professional standards and codes of conduct for journalists, providing training and resources for media professionals, and establishing mechanisms for handling complaints and corrections. Fostering Media Literacy can also promote media literacy education which empowers citizens with the critical thinking skills and basic knowledge needed to navigate the media landscape effectively, recognize misinformation and propaganda, and engage responsibly with media content. This involves integrating media literacy into school curricula, conducting public awareness campaigns and workshops, and supporting community-based media literacy initiatives. By prioritizing these guidelines, policymakers can create a media policy framework that supports democracy, fosters public engagement, and upholds the values of press freedom, independence, and accountability.

When considering media policy specific to crime news, several guidelines can help ensure balanced and responsible reporting while upholding press freedom and the public's right to information which are:

1. **Accuracy and Fact-Checking:** Encourage accurate and fact-checked reporting of crime news to avoid spreading misinformation or sensationalism. Media policy can promote the use of verified sources and fact-checking procedures to ensure the accuracy of crime reporting.
2. **Respect for Privacy and Dignity:** Uphold the privacy and dignity of individuals involved in crime incidents, including victims, suspects, and their families. Media policy should include guidelines on ethical reporting practices that respect the rights and sensitivities of those affected by crime.
3. **Avoid Sensationalism and Graphic Content:** Discourage sensationalism and the use of graphic or explicit content in crime reporting, as it can be distressing to audiences and contribute to fearmongering. Media policy can establish standards for responsible and sensitive reporting on crime-related incidents.
4. **Balance and Context:** Promote balanced and contextual reporting of crime news, providing comprehensive coverage that considers multiple perspectives and factors.

Media policy can encourage journalists to provide context, background information, and analysis to help audiences understand the broader social, economic, and legal aspects of crime issues.

5. **Avoid Stereotyping and Stigmatization:** Avoid perpetuating stereotypes or stigmatizing certain groups or communities in crime reporting. Media policy can include guidelines on responsible language use and portrayal of individuals based on their race, ethnicity, religion, or socioeconomic status.
6. **Transparency in Reporting:** Promote transparency in crime reporting by disclosing the sources of information, the methodologies used in gathering and verifying data, and any potential conflicts of interest. Media policy can require journalists to provide clear attribution and citations for crime-related information and statistics.
7. **Ethical Considerations:** Uphold ethical journalism standards in crime reporting, including principles of fairness, impartiality, and accountability. Media policy can require journalists to adhere to professional codes of conduct and ethics when covering crime-related stories.
8. **Victim-Centered Reporting:** Prioritize victim-centered reporting in crime news coverage, focusing on the experiences, needs, and perspectives of victims and their families. Media policy can provide guidelines for journalists on how to interview and portray victims sensitively and respectfully.

By applying these guidelines, media policy can help ensure that crime news reporting is conducted in a responsible, ethical, and balanced manner, contributing to public understanding of crime issues while minimizing potential harm to individuals and communities.

Thus, when considering improvements to media policy for crime news in Thailand in a sustainable way, it's essential to balance the need for responsible reporting with the broader goals of promoting press freedom, public accountability, and social cohesion. Given the current situation in the country, Thai media policy should be improved with sustainability in mind to create a better society and ensure safety for its people. First, ensure that media policies promote diversity, inclusion, and social cohesion to highlight social sustainability. This involves fostering a media environment that reflects the diversity of Thai society, provides equitable access to information for all segments of the population, and promotes dialogue and understanding across different communities. It also means preserving and promoting Thailand's rich cultural heritage and linguistic diversity through media policies that support the production and dissemination of content in local languages and dialects. This approach contributes to cultural sustainability by ensuring that media content resonates with diverse cultural identities and helps preserve cultural traditions and knowledge. However, it is essential to embrace technological innovation and digitalization to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness, and accessibility of media services to ensure Technological Sustainability. This includes investing in digital infrastructure, promoting media literacy and digital skills development among the population, and encouraging the adoption of sustainable technologies and practices within the media industry. Along with the Legal and Regulatory Sustainability, this intends to develop clear, consistent, and enforceable regulations that strike a balance between promoting freedom of expression and protecting against harmful content, misinformation, and abuse. This requires regular review and adaptation of media laws and regulations to keep pace with technological advancements and evolving societal norms. By integrating sustainability considerations into the development of media policy guidelines, Thailand can create a more balanced and enduring approach to media policy that meets the needs of a changing society while fostering press freedom and public accountability.

Conclusion

Although there is still no official guideline or government initiative to address media policy nowadays, citizens, journalists and media stakeholders have drawn from global concerns to take action on their own. An example of when the media used crime news appropriately is the reporting on the Tham Luang cave rescue in Thailand in 2018. The incident involved the rescue of 12 boys and their soccer coach who were trapped in a flooded cave complex in northern Thailand for over two weeks. During the rescue operation, the media played a crucial role in providing timely and accurate information to the public while also respecting the privacy and dignity of the individuals involved. Media outlets provided real-time updates on the progress of the rescue operation, including the efforts of the rescue teams, the condition of the trapped boys, and the challenges faced by the rescuers. Journalists verified information from official sources before reporting, ensuring accuracy and reliability in their coverage while they still showed sensitivity and respect for the privacy of the boys and their families throughout the ordeal. Journalists refrained from intruding on the personal lives of the individuals involved and avoided sensationalizing or exploiting the situation for ratings or clicks. Moreover, while reporting on the rescue operation, the media highlighted human interest stories that showcased the courage, resilience, and compassion of those involved, such as the bravery of the rescue divers, the support of the local community, and the bond between the trapped boys and their coach. The coverage was balanced and contextual, reporting on the complexities of the rescue operation, including the technical challenges faced by the divers, the risks involved in the rescue mission, and the coordination efforts of the international rescue teams. Journalists provided analysis and background information to help audiences understand the significance of the event. Most importantly, the media fostered a sense of unity and hope among the Thai people and the international community. Journalists highlighted stories of solidarity, compassion, and cooperation that emerged during the crisis, inspiring people around the world to come together in support of the rescue efforts. Overall, the media coverage of the Tham Luang cave rescue demonstrates how crime news reporting can be used appropriately to inform, educate, and inspire the public while upholding ethical standards and respecting the dignity of the individuals involved.

In conclusion, if media policy in Thailand continues to progress on the right track, the landscape of crime news reporting and its presentation by journalists is poised for significant improvement. Enhanced media regulations can foster responsible journalism, ensuring accuracy, balance, and ethical standards in reporting crime incidents. Such improvements benefit not only the media industry but also empower citizens with reliable information, promoting a better-informed society. By upholding journalistic integrity and fostering transparency, a well-structured media policy contributes to building trust between the media and the public, ultimately leading to a healthier democracy and a safer, more informed community.

References

- Albritton, C. D. (2016). *The Loss of Innocence in America's Childhood: The Adam Walsh Murder and the Media's Impact on the Culture and Legislation*. University of Louisiana at Lafayette.
- Are, C. (2021). Patterns of media coverage repeated in online abuse on high-profile criminal cases. *Journalism*, 22(11), 2692-2710.
- Chotpradit, T. (2018). Of art and absurdity: military, censorship, and contemporary art in Thailand. *Journal of Asia-Pacific pop culture*, 3(1), 5-25.
- Chinkarnjanaroj, M., & Wongchestha, N. (2020). *Thai News Agency (TNA) Management in*

the

- Digital Era* (No. 304740). Thammasat University. Faculty of Journalism and Mass Communication.
- Cohen, E. (2016). Contesting narratives: the Koh Tao tourists murders. *Asian Anthropology*, 15(3), 207-224.
- Gebhart, G., & Kohno, T. (2017). Internet censorship in Thailand: User practices and potential threats. In *2017 IEEE European symposium on security and privacy (EuroS&P)* (pp. 417- 432). IEEE.
- Greer, C., & McLaughlin, E. (2012). Media justice: Madeleine McCann, intermediatization and 'trial by media' in the British press. *Theoretical Criminology*, 16(4), 395-416.
- Hartnett, C., & Walsh, J. (1998). *Tears of rage: From grieving father to crusader for justice: The untold story of the Adam Walsh case*. Simon and Schuster.
- Kim, M. (2023). Online gender-based hate speech in South Korea. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 1-10.
- Lee, Y. M., & Lee, M. K. (2021). Semantic network analysis on Digital Sex Offense News Reporting: The "Nth room case". *Journal of Digital Contents Society*, 1337-1350.
- Magpanthong, C. (2013). Thailand's evolving Internet policies: the search for a balance between national security and the right to information. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 23(1), 1-16.
- Nupairoj, N. (2013). Social media and media literacy in Thailand. In *Asian Conference on Media and Mass Communication, Osaka, Japan*.
- Prapawong, S. (2018). Ethics and regulation for media in Thailand. In *2018 International Conference on Digital Arts, Media and Technology (ICDAMT)* (pp. 86-91). IEEE.
- Sakul-Ung, P., Vatcharaphrueksadee, A., Vanijkachorn, S., Klaymanee, C., Vasoppakarn, S., Pumkrachan, K., ... & Aeksirinithipon, N. (2020). An Integrated Cybersecurity Framework for Personal Data Protection: A Case Study on Thai Personal Data Protection Act BE 2562. *Information Technology Journal*, 16(2), 28-37.
- Sangsuwon, C., Yooyong, N., & mekwimon Kingkaew, W. (2019). The Social Media Use Behavior of Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University students: A case study of Facebook. In *International Academic Multidisciplinary Research Conference in Belgium 2019* (pp. 25- 30).