PRACTICAL REASONS TO ENCOURAGE STUDENTS IN DIETITIAN EDUCATION PROGRAMS TO USE AI TOOLS

Authors: SUHHYUN KIM, PhD [1]
Affiliation: Head of Department of Dietetics and Nutrition Bucheon University in Tashkent, Uzbekistan [1]
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17331803

ABSTRACT

In contemporary health sciences education, artificial intelligence (AI) tools are emerging as valuable assistants. For students in dietetics education programs, encouraging judicious use of AI can foster deeper learning, efficiency in tasks, and preparation for future professional environments. This article outlines practical reasons to support AI adoption in dietetic curricula, addresses potential risks, and proposes strategies for implementation. Three illustrative tables present comparative features, application domains, and a recommended integration roadmap. The article concludes that with appropriate guidance and ethical framing, AI tools can become powerful adjuncts in dietitian training.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Dietetics Education, AI Tools, Nutrition Students, AI Adoption, Pedagogy.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, AI tools—particularly generative large language models, machine learning systems, and image-recognition software—have begun to reshape many domains, including health and nutrition sciences. Within dietitian education, these technologies offer opportunities to enhance student learning, streamline routine tasks, and prepare learners for AI-augmented professional practice.[1] Yet integration remains limited and cautious due to concerns about accuracy, ethics, and dependency. [2] This article argues that encouraging dietetics students to use AI tools—under structured guidance—yields multiple concrete benefits. We first review AI in nutrition/dietetics, then present practical rationales, consider challenges, and propose integrative strategies.

Background: AI in Nutrition and Dietetics

Overview of AI applications in nutrition

Artificial intelligence has been applied in multiple nutrition domains—dietary assessment, food image recognition, personalized diet recommendation, predictive modeling, and remote monitoring.[3] For example, AI-assisted dietary assessment tools (image-based or sensor-based) have achieved accuracy comparable to or sometimes exceeding traditional self-report methods. AI systems have also been deployed to predict dietary patterns, estimate nutrient intake, or generate meal plans adapted to individual patient data. [4] Within dietetics education specifically, some efforts are underway: for example, the ATLAS platform provides voice-to-chat virtual patients for training communication skills in dietetic curricula. [1] Also, the

E+DIETing Lab uses AI avatars to let students practice counseling before interacting with real clients.[5]

Current attitudes and readiness among dietetics professionals

Surveys of dietitians and dietetic students show interest and cautious optimism. In one study, dietetic students believed that ethical use of AI would help professionals work more efficiently and expand scope.[6] Among practicing registered dietitian nutritionists (RDNs), many express interest in AI adoption but cite barriers such as cost, technical expertise, and trustworthiness of algorithms.[7] Meanwhile, AI in nutrition practice is framed as a future direction, with recognition of both promise and risks.[8] Given this context, guiding students early to use AI responsibly in their training can help bridge the gap from theoretical enthusiasm to practical competence.

Practical Reasons to Encourage AI Use in Dietitian Education

Below, it can be categorized the principal practical reasons into themes: pedagogical enhancement, efficiency and workflow support, professional preparedness, and innovation & research.

Pedagogical enhancement

For personalized learning and scaffolding, AI tools can adapt to individual students' pace, offer hints, ask Socratic questions, or generate supplementary explanatory material targeted to weaker areas. This scaffolding helps differentiate instruction in heterogeneous cohorts.

In terms of Immediate feedback and formative assessment, using AI, students can receive almost instantaneous feedback on exercises, quizzes, or draft assignments. This immediate loop aids reflection and correction before summative assessment. To enhance comprehension of complex data, dietetic education often requires interpreting tables, statistical outputs, and research literature. AI tools (e.g. LLMs) can help students parse and explain complex results, thereby lowering comprehension barriers.

Efficiency and workflow support

For time-saving on administrative or repetitive tasks, students frequently spend time on literature searches, summarization, formatting citations, or drafting baseline passages. AI can assist or accelerate these tasks, freeing time for deeper thinking. AI also can support in diet plan drafting and scenario generation; when working on case studies, students can ask AI to generate menu options, nutrient analyses, or "what-if" modifications, which they can then critically review. This encourages exploration of alternatives more quickly.

Assisting with data analytics and modeling can ne another option for students. Some dietetics coursework involves analyzing datasets (e.g. nutrient databases, survey data). AI/machine-learning tools can help students preprocess, visualize, or run predictions, allowing more time for interpretation.

Professional preparedness

Aligning training with future practice can be tough job for students and for faculty staffs. As AI tools become more common in clinical or public health nutrition, students familiar with such tools will be better prepared for real practice settings. Encouraging an evidence-based, analytics mindset is extremely important for dietetics students. AI usage can foster a mindset of exploring data, verifying algorithmic outputs, and maintaining human oversight—a habit crucial for

advanced practice. Encouraging students to “stay human in the loop” is often recommended.

Building AI literacy and critical appraisal skills are necessary. Using AI tools under supervision helps students understand strengths, limitations, biases, and when not to rely on AI—critical competencies for professionals. In table 1, we can view comparative features of AI tools vs. traditional manual methods, and practical implication for students.

Table 1. Comparative features of AI tools vs. traditional manual methods

Feature	Traditional Manual Methods	AI-augmented Methods	Practical Implication for Students
Speed	Slower, labor-intensive	Faster, automated	Frees time for critical thinking
Scalability	Limited by human capacity	Scales to many cases	Allows more varied case exposure
Feedback latency	Delayed (instructor)	Instant or near-instant	Supports iterative learning
Adaptability	Fixed content	Adaptive responses	Enables personalized scaffolding
Error checking	Human-only	AI-assisted, but needs review	Teaches oversight and critical review
Innovation potential	Low flexibility	Enables “what-if” simulations	Encourages exploration

Innovation and research opportunities

Facilitating student research should be the most supported area using AI tools. Students undertaking research or capstone projects can leverage AI for literature reviews, data mining, and hypothesis generation—augmenting their productivity and creativity.

Encouraging exploration of new AI-driven nutrition solutions will give many business opportunities for not only students or also for society. Engaging students with AI early may spark innovation: new apps, digital services, or algorithmic nutrition models. This fosters a more forward-looking cohort of dietitians. In table 2, several distinctive nutrition information sites can assist dietetics major students.

Table 2. Representative AI dietetics education and practice support site

Site Name	Usage of Example	Actual Students Practice
Dietary assessment	Image recognition of food intake	Students validate AI-predicted nutrient intake
Meal planning	AI-generated menus based on constraints	Students critique and adapt menus
Predictive modeling	Risk prediction for diet-related disease	Students evaluate model output vs. literature

Data analytics	Nutrient database mining	Students perform automated clustering
Simulated counseling	Virtual patient via chatbot	Students practice interviewing responses

Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

While the advantages are compelling, adopting AI in educational settings entails risks. Below is a discussion of key challenges and suggested mitigations.

Accuracy, hallucination, and misinformation

AI systems may generate inaccurate or fabricated content ("hallucinations"). Students must be taught to fact-check, cross-validate, and not accept AI outputs uncritically. We suggest mitigation as follows; require students to append references, compare AI suggestions with primary literature, and annotate where they modified AI content.

Overreliance and erosion of analytical skills

Excessive dependence on AI could hamper development of students' own problem-solving or reasoning skills. Mitigation can be design assignments that require students to reflect on AI's limitations, or partially disable AI (e.g. "no-AI" components).

Ethical considerations, bias, and equity

AI models may encode biases (e.g. socio-cultural, food-culture biases), and access to AI tools may favor better-resourced students. Mitigation: include modules on algorithmic bias, ensure equitable access to tools, anonymize or randomize assignments to reduce advantage bias.

Privacy and data security

Some AI tools use servers, logs, or cloud storage, raising concerns about student data privacy. Mitigation measures include using tools that respect privacy, requiring anonymization, and emphasizing institutional policies. Privacy protections should be a policy or system development priority.

Faculty readiness and institutional support

Many instructors lack familiarity with AI tools, or resist change. Institutional policies may restrict AI use. Mitigation: invest in faculty professional development, pilot projects, and clear institutional policies promoting guided AI use. It is important to provide guidelines for AI education policy, either nationally or through the Ministry of Education.

Implementation Recommendations

Here are actionable recommendations for dietetics programs seeking to encourage AI use among students: Fist, develop an AI literacy module early in the curriculum (covering tool types, biases, best practices). Secondly, use scaffolded assignments where early tasks guide prompt formulation and critique. In third, model AI use in class (instructors show how they use AI tools and critique outputs).[9]

A few more extra activity suggestions are as follows;[10, 11, 12]

- Require "human in the loop" review: students must validate and annotate AI outputs.
- Promote reflective practice: students write short reflections on AI tool strengths and failures.
- Ensure equity of access: provide institutional subscriptions or free tools to all students.

- Periodically evaluate outcomes: compare performance, satisfaction, and critical thinking metrics before/after AI integration.
- Encourage student-led innovation: allow students to propose AI-based mini-projects or tools as part of capstone work.

By following a phased, reflective, and policy-supported approach, dietetics programs can harness AI benefits while maintaining educational integrity. In table 3, we suggest proposed roadmap for integrative AI use for Dietetics Program.

Table 3. Proposed roadmap for integrating AI into dietetic curriculum

Phase	Activities	Support needed	Evaluation metric
Awareness & training	Workshops on AI literacy, tool demos	Faculty training, platform licenses	Student surveys of understanding
Guided assignments	Scaffolded assignments with AI prompts	Sample prompts, guardrails	Quality of student AI-augmented work
Independent use	Students choose AI tools for projects	Support sessions, oversight	Impact on project quality/time
Reflection & critique	Students critique AI outputs	Reflection prompts, peer discussion	Depth of critique in essays
Continuous improvement	Adjust tools & policies	Institutional support	Longitudinal outcomes (grades, satisfaction)

CONCLUSION

In the dynamic landscape of nutrition and health sciences, AI tools are increasingly becoming part of professional practice. For dietitian education programs, encouraging students to adopt and critically engage with AI tools yields multiple practical benefits: personalized learning, time savings, enhanced analytical capacity, and readiness for AI-augmented professional environments.[13] Although challenges exist—accuracy, overreliance, bias, faculty readiness—they can be mitigated via pedagogical design, reflective scaffolding, and institutional support. Ultimately, integrating AI into dietetics education can help cultivate a generation of dietitians who are not only nutrition experts but also discerning users (and perhaps creators) of AI tools.

REFERENCES

1. Generative Artificial Intelligence as a Tool for Teaching Communication in Nutrition and Dietetics Education—A Novel Education Innovation, Lisa A Barker, Joel D Moore, and Helmy A Cook, Nutrients 2024 Mar 22;16(7):914.
2. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Nutrition Research: A Scoping Review, Andrea Sosa-Holwerda, Oak-Hee Park, Kembra Albracht-Schulte, Surya Niraula, Leslie Thompson, and Wilna Oldewage-Theron, Nutrients 2024 Jun 28;16(13):2066.
3. Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Deep Learning in Nutrition: A Systematic Review, Tagne Poupi Theodore

Armand, Kintoh Allen Nfor, Jung-In Kim, and Hee-Cheol Kim, Nutrients 2024, 16(7), 1073.
4. Navigating next-gen nutrition care using artificial intelligence-assisted dietary assessment tools—a scoping review of potential applications, Anuja Phalle and Devaki Gokhale, Front. Nutr., Sec. Nutrition Methodology; Volume 12, 2025.
5. https://www.fhstp.ac.at/en/stories/news/ai-and-avatars-in-dietetics-education?utm_source=chatgpt.com, University of Applies science St. Poiten. Oct 2025.
6. Dietetic Students' Knowledge and Perceptions of Their Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence Now and in the Future, H. Wengreen, S. Bevan and K. Kraus, Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Volume 124, Issue 10, 2024.
7. Exploring the Adoption, Perceptions, and Opportunities of Artificial Intelligence Among Registered Dietitians in the United States, Hong Liu, Mariana Tadros, Edward Bitok and Liang Ji, Loma Linda University, MS in Nutrition and Dietetics Research Reports 2025.
8. Are Nutrition Professionals Ready for Artificial Intelligence, Alexandra L. MacMillan Uribe, PhD, RDN and Julie Patterson, PhD, MBA, RDN, LDN, Volume 55, Issue 9, p623 Sep 2023.
9. Artificial intelligence, nutrition, and ethical issues: A mini-review, Paraskevi Detopoulou, Gavriela Voulgaridou, Panagiotis Moschos, Despoina Levidi, Thelma Anastasiou, Vasilios Dedes, Eirini- Maria Diplari, Nikoleta Fourfouri, Constantinos Giaginis, Georgios I. Panoutsopoulos and Sousana K. Papadopoulou, Clinical Nutrition Open Science Volume 50, Pages 46-56, August 2023.Applications 2024, 16(7), 1073.
10. Students Are Using AI Already. Here's What They Think Adults Should Know, Ryan Nagelhout, Havard Gtraduate school of Education News Sep 10, 2024.
11. The Use Of AI In Education: Understanding The Student's Perspective, Tao Zhang, Forbes Technology Council post, Jul 2024.
12. Assigning AI: Seven Approaches for Students, with Prompts, Ethan Mollick and Lilach Mollick, Cornell University Computer and Society News, arXiv:2306.10052, June 2023.
13. Student Perspectives on the Benefits and Risks of AI in Education, Griffin Pitts, Viktoria Marcus and Sanaz Motamedi, Cornell University Computer and Society News, arXiv:2505.02198, June 2025.