SCIENTIFIC AND METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THE ANALYSIS OF COLOR DESIGNATIONS IN LANGUAGE

Author: Kodirova Feruza [1]
Affiliation: Nordic International University Acting Associate Professor [1]
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17390596

ABSTRACT

Color linguistics is a cross-disciplinary field that investigates how color is encoded, conceptualized, and communicated through language. This article outlines the methodological foundations of color linguistics by addressing its interdisciplinary nature, research approaches, and the role of semantics, psycholinguistics, and cultural studies in understanding color terms. We explore the comparative, typological, cognitive, and etymological methodologies that underpin current research, offering a structured framework for future investigations.

Keywords: Color linguistics, color semantics, psycholinguistics, color categorization, etymology of color terms, cross-linguistic analysis, color perception, cultural symbolism.

INTRODUCTION

Color is not merely a visual phenomenon but a linguistic and cognitive category deeply embedded in human perception and communication. It is perceived not only at the physiological level through visual receptors, but also understood, classified and expressed through language. Through color, a person not only reflects objective reality, but also gives it culturally significant meanings. In language, color designations perform an important semantic, pragmatic and symbolic function: they are used to describe objects, express emotions, construct metaphors, and also serve as markers of cultural identity. The study of color in linguistics goes beyond identifying color terms; it involves understanding the ways in which language reflects and shapes cultural, psychological, and historical perceptions of color. Linguistic culture is defined as a system of norms formed in the process of social practice and enshrined in the vocabulary of a language.

This article aims to present the main methodological approaches that form the basis of color linguistics as a distinct research area. Thus, the study of color in linguistics covers a wide range of issues - from universals and differences in the naming of colors in different languages to the role of color metaphors in the structure of thinking and communication.

INTERDISCIPLINARY NATURE OF COLOR LINGUISTICS

Color linguistics draws from several disciplines, including: Linguistics (semantics, lexicology, etymology), Psychology (perception, psycholinguistics), Anthropology (cultural color systems), Philosophy (language and thought). The integration of these disciplines enables researchers to examine color not only as a linguistic phenomenon but also as a cultural and cognitive construct.

Linguistics is the science of language, its structure, functioning, and development. Within linguistics, several branches play a key role in the study of color terminology, particularly semantics, lexicology, and etymology. Semantics is the branch of linguistics that studies the meanings of words, expressions, and language units. In the context of color vocabulary, semantics explores the meanings conveyed by color terms, how they are perceived by native speakers, and how they function both literally and metaphorically (e.g., *a black day*, *a golden heart*). Lexicology focuses on the vocabulary of a language. This field examines the properties, functions, and structure of lexical units, including color terms as part of the active and passive vocabulary. Lexicology analyzes how color words function in speech, their synonyms, antonyms, and usage peculiarities. Etymology is the study of the origin of words, their historical development, borrowings, and changes in meaning. Etymological analysis of color terms helps trace their emergence in the language, their roots, semantic evolution over time, and the cultural influences that shaped them.

CORE METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES

Semantic Analysis

One of the main methods in color linguistics is the semantic analysis of color designations, which plays a crucial role in uncovering how meaning is constructed, interpreted, and extended within a language. This approach includes several key components. First, it involves distinguishing between basic and non-basic color terms, following the seminal work of Berlin and Kay (1969), who identified a universal hierarchy in the emergence of basic color terms across languages. Basic color terms are monolexemic, psychologically salient, and culturally stable, while non-basic terms often include compound forms or those derived from objects and associations.

Semantic analysis also focuses on synonymy and polysemy, where multiple words may refer to similar or overlapping hues (e.g., *crimson*, *scarlet*, *ruby* for shades of red), or a single color term may possess several related meanings. Furthermore, it explores metaphorical and idiomatic extensions of color terms, such as *"green with envy," "feeling blue,"* or *"white lie,"* which reveal how abstract concepts and emotional states are structured through color-based metaphors.

Another important dimension is the analysis of semantic shifts over time, which helps trace how the meanings of color terms evolve due to cultural, social, and technological changes. For example, the term *pink* only became widely recognized as a distinct color in English in the 17th century, while the symbolic meanings of *black* and *white* have undergone significant transformations across historical periods and discourses.

Through semantic analysis, scholars gain deeper insight into how color terms function within the lexicon, how they contribute to meaning-making processes, and how they reflect broader cultural and cognitive models.

Psycholinguistic Experiments

Psycholinguistic methods explore how speakers perceive, categorize, and recall color, revealing the complex interaction between language, cognition, and sensory experience. These methods involve experimental tasks that examine how individuals name colors, distinguish between similar hues, and associate them with linguistic labels. Through color-naming tasks, reaction time measurements, and memory recall tests, researchers can determine how color terms are stored in the mental lexicon, how quickly they are accessed, and how linguistic and cultural factors

influence perception. Such studies also help identify differences in color categorization across languages and cultures, contributing to our understanding of linguistic relativity and the role of language in shaping cognitive processes related to color.

Comparative and Typological Studies

Comparative studies analyze color vocabularies across languages and cultures to identify both universal patterns and culturally specific features in the way colors are named and conceptualized. These studies aim to reveal universal tendencies, such as the consistent presence of basic color terms like black, white, and red across most languages—colors that often appear first in the historical evolution of color lexicons, as demonstrated by Berlin and Kay (1969).

At the same time, comparative research highlights language-specific distinctions. For instance, in Russian, there is a unique lexical distinction between two shades of blue: синий (siniy) for dark blue and голубой (goluboy) for light blue. This binary distinction is not present in many other languages, such as English, where both are referred to simply as blue. This phenomenon provides insight into how speakers of different languages categorize the color spectrum and how these categories influence perception and memory.

Moreover, comparative studies focus on cultural color symbolism, exploring how various societies attribute different meanings and values to colors. In Russian culture, for example, красный (krasnyy, red) traditionally symbolizes beauty, strength, and festivity—reflected in the etymological connection between красный (red) and красивый (beautiful). Such associations are evident in expressions like красная площадь (Red Square), where red historically carried a positive, prestigious connotation, rather than a political one. By analyzing such symbolic layers, comparative color linguistics reveals how languages encode not only sensory categories but also cultural and historical worldviews.

Etymological and Historical Analysis

This method traces the development of color terms through historical texts, etymological dictionaries, and linguistic corpora, allowing researchers to reconstruct the origins and evolution of specific color designations within a language. It involves identifying the etymological roots of color terms, tracking their diachronic changes, and examining how meanings have shifted or expanded over time. Particular attention is paid to borrowings from other languages, which often reflect cultural contact, trade, technological progress, or aesthetic influence (e.g., the adoption of exotic or artificial color names). For instance, many European languages adopted terms like turquoise or orange through interactions with other cultures.

The method also examines the influence of socio-cultural factors on the lexical development of color terms—such as religious symbolism, class distinctions, fashion trends, or changes in material culture (e.g., the introduction of new dyes or pigments). By exploring these processes, etymological and historical analysis helps explain not only how and when certain color terms appeared in the language, but also why they came into use, and what cultural meanings they carried at different stages of linguistic history.

Ultimately, this approach reveals the deep interconnection between language, history, and cultural identity through the lens of color vocabulary.4. Cognitive and Conceptual Approaches

Color terms are closely related to cognitive processes, as they reflect the ways in which individuals mentally categorize, conceptualize, and interpret visual stimuli. The perception and naming of colors are not merely physiological reactions but involve higher-order mental operations such as classification, comparison, memory, and abstraction. When speakers use color terms, they engage in cognitive mapping of the color spectrum, assigning linguistic labels to specific regions based on both perceptual and cultural frameworks.

Moreover, color terms often function as cognitive tools that help individuals structure their understanding of the world. They are used not only to describe visual reality but also to express abstract concepts, emotions, and symbolic meanings. For example, metaphoric expressions like “feeling blue”, “seeing red”, or “green with envy” demonstrate how color vocabulary becomes embedded in conceptual metaphors that organize thought and experience.

Cognitive studies have shown that the availability and structure of color terms in a language can influence how speakers discriminate between colors, remember them, and even how quickly they recognize them. This supports the theory of linguistic relativity, suggesting that language affects cognitive perception. Therefore, the study of color terms provides valuable insight into the interplay between language, thought, and sensory experience.

CONCLUSION

The methodological foundations of color linguistics lie in its interdisciplinary approach, which integrates semantic, psycholinguistic, typological, cognitive, and historical-etymological perspectives. This multifaceted approach allows researchers to analyze how color is encoded in language, how it is perceived and conceptualized by speakers, and how it varies across linguistic and cultural contexts. Semantics provides tools for examining the meanings and metaphorical uses of color terms; psycholinguistics reveals how color vocabulary is processed in the human mind; typological studies compare systems of color naming across languages to uncover universal patterns and unique features; and historical-etymological research traces the development and transformation of color terms over time.

As a growing field, color linguistics offers rich potential for exploring how language not only names colors but also structures and reflects deeply rooted aspects of human cognition, emotion, and cultural worldview. Color terms serve as linguistic windows into how speakers of different languages perceive their environment, categorize sensory input, and express social and emotional values. They are intricately linked with symbolism, tradition, ideology, and identity.

Future research in color linguistics will benefit from combining rigorous empirical methods—such as psycholinguistic experiments, corpus analysis, and fieldwork—with theoretical frameworks from cognitive science, anthropology, and cultural linguistics. Such a synthesis will help deepen our understanding of the relationship between language, mind, and culture, and reveal how the seemingly simple phenomenon of color can illuminate complex processes of human meaning-making and communication.

REFERENCES

1. Berlin, B., & Kay, P. (1969). Basic Color Terms: Their Universality and Evolution. University of California Press.

2. Wierzbicka, A. (2006). English: Meaning and Culture. Oxford University Press.
3. Biggam, C. P. (2012). The Semantics of Color: A Historical Approach. Cambridge University Press.
4. MacLaury, R. E. (1997). Color and Cognition in Mesoamerica: Constructing Categories as Vantages. University of Texas Press.
5. Davies, I., & Corbett, G. (1994). The Basic Color Terms of Russian. Journal of Linguistics, 30(1), 65–98.
6. Kodirova, F. "The Linguistic Culture of Color in Russian and Uzbek Phraseologisms". Ilm sarchashmalari, 3rd issue / Urgench – 03.2024, p. 169.
7. Lyons, J. (1995). Linguistic Semantics: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press.
8. Kay, P., & Regier, T. (2003). Resolving the Question of Color Naming Universals. PNAS, 100(15), 9085–9089.
9. Hardin, C. L., & Maffi, L. (Eds.). (1997). Color Categories in Thought and Language. Cambridge University Press.